AGENDA

LOCAL TRAFFIC COMMITTEE MEETING

MONDAY 4 MARCH 2019

10.00am
Function of the Local Traffic Committee

Background
Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) is legislated as the Authority responsible for the control of traffic on all NSW Roads. The RMS has delegated certain aspects of the control of traffic on local roads to councils. To exercise this delegation, councils must establish a local traffic committee and obtain the advice of the RMS and Police. The Inner West Council Local Traffic Committee has been constituted by Council as a result of the delegation granted by the RMS pursuant to Section 50 of the Transport Administration Act 1988.

Role of the Committee
The Local Traffic Committee is primarily a technical review and advisory committee which considers the technical merits of proposals and ensures that current technical guidelines are considered. It provides recommendations to Council on traffic and parking control matters and on the provision of traffic control facilities and prescribed traffic control devices for which Council has delegated authority. These matters are dealt with under Part A of the agenda and require Council to consider exercising its delegation.

In addition to its formal role as the Local Traffic Committee, the Committee may also be requested to provide informal traffic engineering advice on traffic matters not requiring Council to exercise its delegated function at that point in time, for example, advice to Council’s Development Assessment Section on traffic generating developments. These matters are dealt with under Part C of the agenda and are for information or advice only and do not require Council to exercise its delegation.

Committee Delegations
The Local Traffic Committee has no decision-making powers. The Council must refer all traffic related matters to the Local Traffic Committee prior to exercising its delegated functions. Matters related to State Roads or functions that have not been delegated to Council must be referred directly to the RMS or relevant organisation.

The Committee provides recommendations to Council. Should Council wish to act contrary to the advice of the Committee or if that advice is not supported unanimously by the Committee members, then the Police or RMS have an opportunity to appeal to the Regional Traffic Committee.

Committee Membership & Voting
Formal voting membership comprises the following:

- one representative of Council as nominated by Council;
- one representative of the NSW Police from each Local Area Command (LAC) within the LGA, being Newtown, Marrickville, Leichhardt and Ashfield LAC’s.
- one representative from the RMS; and
- State Members of Parliament (MP) for the electorates of Summer Hill, Newtown, Heffron, Canterbury, Strathfield and Balmain or their nominees.

Where the Council area is represented by more than one MP or covered by more than one Police LAC, representatives are only permitted to vote on matters which effect their electorate or LAC.

Informal (non-voting) advisors from within Council or external authorities may also attend Committee meetings to provide expert advice.

Committee Chair
Council’s representative will chair the meetings.

Public Participation
Members of the public or other stakeholders may address the Committee on agenda items to be considered by the Committee. The format and number of presentations is at the discretion of the Chairperson and is generally limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Committee debate on agenda items is not open to the public.
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Minutes of Local Traffic Committee Meeting
Held at Petersham Service Centre on 4 February 2019

Meeting commenced at 10.04am

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY BY CHAIRPERSON

I acknowledge the Gadigal and Wangal people of the Eora nation on whose country we are meeting today, and their elders past and present.

COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT

Clr Vic Macri Chair – Councillor – Marrickville Ward
Bill Holliday Representative for Jamie Parker MP, Member for Balmain
Chris Woods Representative for Ron Hoenig MP, Member for Heffron
Sarina Foulstone Representative for Jo Haylen MP, Member for Summer Hill
SC Tony Kenny NSW Police – Inner West Police Area Command
Marina Nestoriadis NSW Police – Leichhardt Police Area Command
Ryan Horne Roads and Maritime Services

OFFICERS AND OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Asith Nagodavithane Transit Systems – Inner West Bus Services
Colin Jones Inner West Bicycle Coalition
Clr Marghanita da Cruz Councillor – Leichhardt Ward
Wal Petschler IWC’s Group Manager, Roads, Traffic and Stormwater
John Stephens IWC’s Traffic and Transport Services Manager
Manod Wickramasinghe IWC’s Coordinator Traffic and Parking Services (North)
George Tsaprounis IWC’s Coordinator Traffic and Parking Services (South)
David Yu IWC’s Engineer – Traffic and Parking Services
Boris Muha IWC’s Engineer – Traffic and Parking Services
Vinoth Srinivasan IWC’s Engineer – Traffic and Parking Services
Mary Bailey IWC’s Parking Planner
Miaa Hynninen IWC’s Business Administration Officer
Christina Ip IWC’s Business Administration Officer

VISITORS

Beverley Prunster Item 19 – Resident
Greg Prunster Item 19 – Resident
Jason Gooden Item 19 – Resident

APOLOGIES:

Sgt John Micallef NSW Police – Burwood Police Area Command
SC Sam Tohme NSW Police – Burwood Police Area Command

DISCLOSURES OF INTERESTS:

Ms Sarina Foulstone declared a non-pecuniary interest in Item 31 as she is a member of BIKESydney's management committee.
CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

The Minutes of the Local Traffic Committee Meeting held on Tuesday, 4 December 2018 were confirmed.

MATTERS ARISING FROM COUNCIL’S RESOLUTION OF MINUTES

The Minutes of the Local Traffic Committee Meeting held on Tuesday, 4 December 2018 are awaiting adoption.

LTC0219 Item 1 Mullens Street, near Beattie Street, Balmain - Raised Pedestrian (Zebra) Crossing (Balmain Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Detailed design plans have been finalised for the proposed raised pedestrian (zebra) crossing in Mullens Street, Balmain.

The proposed works includes the construction of a raised pedestrian (zebra) crossing, relocation of existing ‘Bus Zone’, installation of signage and road markings, provision of motorcycle parking and upgrade of street-lighting.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the detailed design plan for the raised pedestrian (zebra) crossing with associated signposting and line marking in Mullens Street, Balmain (as per the attached plan No. 10052) be approved.

DISCUSSION

Clr Da Cruz requested for the pedestrian crossing signs on the northbound approach to the crossing be installed on the same pole as the speed hump sign to better demarcate the crossing for approaching motorists. Council Officers stated that the design plan will be amended to correct the placement of the signage.

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the detailed design plan for the raised pedestrian (zebra) crossing with associated signposting and line marking in Mullens Street, Balmain (as per the attached plan No. 10052) be approved, subject to reviewing the location of the pedestrian crossing sign on the design plan.

For motion: Unanimous
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LTC0219 Item 2 Smidmore Street, Marrickville – Road Occupancy - Request by BreastScreen NSW to position a Mobile X-ray Unit on Street between 11 March 2019 and 9 May 2019 (Marrickville Ward / Summer Hill Electorate / Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

A request has been received from ‘BreastScreen New South Wales’ to position a mobile x-ray Unit within the existing ‘No parking’ zone on the northern side of Smidmore Street adjacent Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre, Marrickville, between 11 March 2019 and 9 May 2019 (a period of approximately eight (8) weeks) as in previous years. It is recommended that the request be approved, on the basis of this being an annual occurrence with no major problems being encountered previously.

Officer's Recommendation

THAT the road occupancy for the BreastScreen NSW mobile x-ray unit on the northern side of Smidmore Street, Marrickville, approximately 55 metres east of Edinburgh Road, outside the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre be supported for a period of nine (9) weeks from Monday, 11 March 2019 to Thursday, 9 May 2019, subject to the following conditions:

1. The existing ‘No Parking’ restrictions (for the length of unit) be temporarily removed for the proposed duration (i.e. 11 March to 9 May 2019);

2. That all affected businesses, residents and other occupants must be notified of the road occupancy and activities at least one week prior to the commencement of the event. Any concerns or requirements raised by business proprietors, residents and other occupants must be resolved or accommodated by the applicant;

3. That the applicant contact Energy Australia/Ausgrid in relation to power access to the mobile laboratory;

4. That the areas to be used for the activities must be maintained in a clean and tidy condition to the satisfaction of Council’s Group Manager Roads and Stormwater, or else the applicant will be required to reimburse Council for any extraordinary cleansing costs;

5. That the Council and RMS must be indemnified against all claims for damage or injury that may result from either the activities or from the occupation of part of the public way during the activities. The applicant must therefore produce evidence of its public risk insurance cover (under which Council is indemnified) with a minimum policy value of at least $10,000,000;

6. That a copy of the Council approval letter must be made available on the site for inspection by relevant officers:

7. That the applicant must comply with any reasonable directive from Council’s Compliance Officers; and

8. That Council reserves the right to cancel this approval at any time.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer's recommendation.
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the road occupancy for the BreastScreen NSW mobile x-ray unit on the northern side of Smidmore Street, Marrickville, approximately 55 metres east of Edinburgh Road, outside the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre be supported for a period of nine (9) weeks from Monday, 11 March 2019 to Thursday, 9 May 2019, subject to the following conditions:

1. The existing ‘No Parking’ restrictions (for the length of unit) be temporarily removed for the proposed duration (i.e. 11 March to 9 May 2019);

2. That all affected businesses, residents and other occupants must be notified of the road occupancy and activities at least one week prior to the commencement of the event. Any concerns or requirements raised by business proprietors, residents and other occupants must be resolved or accommodated by the applicant;

3. That the applicant contact Energy Australia/Ausgrid in relation to power access to the mobile laboratory;

4. That the areas to be used for the activities must be maintained in a clean and tidy condition to the satisfaction of Council’s Group Manager Roads and Stormwater, or else the applicant will be required to reimburse Council for any extraordinary cleansing costs;

5. That the Council and RMS must be indemnified against all claims for damage or injury that may result from either the activities or from the occupation of part of the public way during the activities. The applicant must therefore produce evidence of its public risk insurance cover (under which Council is indemnified) with a minimum policy value of at least $10,000,000;

6. That a copy of the Council approval letter must be made available on the site for inspection by relevant officers:

7. That the applicant must comply with any reasonable directive from Council’s Compliance Officers;

8. That Council reserves the right to cancel this approval at any time.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 3 Darling Street between Mort Street and Curtis Road, Balmain - Road Occupancy - ANZAC Day Dawn Service (Balmain Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

In preparation to mark the ANZAC Day Dawn Service 2019 on Thursday, 25 April 2019, Inner West Council is organising an event at the Loyalty Square War Memorial, Balmain. To facilitate the event, it is proposed to close Darling Street between Mort Street and Curtis Road between 2:30am and 9:30am.
Officer’s Recommendation

THAT:

1. The road closure application for the ‘ANZAC Day Dawn Service’ on Darling Street (Mort Street to Curtis Road), Balmain on Thursday, 25 April 2019 between 2.30am and 9.30am be supported as per the submitted TCP;

2. All residents and businesses in and around the affected area, including NSW Fire & Rescue (Balmain) to be notified by the applicant in advance (7 days prior to the event) of the temporary road closure;

3. A minimum four (4) metre unencumbered passage be available for emergency vehicles through the closed section of Darling Street, Balmain;

4. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed;

5. That Transit Systems be requested to terminate all bus services either at Grove Street or in Mullens Street;

6. That three parking spaces on the northern side of Grove Street (even numbered side, No. 22, 24, 26A) near Deloitte Avenue be temporarily converted to a ‘Bus Zone’ and affected residents notified;

7. That NSW Police be requested to provide traffic control in Darling Street at Rowntree Street/Montague Street to restrict bus/truck access into Darling Street, east of Rowntree Street, Balmain.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. The road closure application for the ‘ANZAC Day Dawn Service’ on Darling Street (Mort Street to Curtis Road), Balmain on Thursday, 25 April 2019 between 2.30am and 9.30am be supported as per the submitted TCP;

2. All residents and businesses in and around the affected area, including NSW Fire & Rescue (Balmain) to be notified by the applicant in advance (7 days prior to the event) of the temporary road closure;

3. A minimum four (4) metre unencumbered passage be available for emergency vehicles through the closed section of Darling Street, Balmain;

4. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed;

5. That Transit Systems be requested to terminate all bus services either at Grove Street or in Mullens Street;

6. That three parking spaces on the northern side of Grove Street (even numbered
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side, No. 22, 24, 26A) near Deloitte Avenue be temporarily converted to a ‘Bus Zone’ and affected residents notified;

7. That NSW Police be requested to provide traffic control in Darling Street at Rowntree Street/Montague Street to restrict bus/truck access into Darling Street, east of Rowntree Street, Balmain.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 4 Audley Street, Sadlier Crescent, Fisher Street, and parking lanes on New Canterbury Road Petersham – Temporary Full Road Closures For Special Event On Sunday 10 March 2019 – Bairro Portuguese Food and Wine Fair (Stanmore Ward/Newtown Electorate/Marrickville PAC)

SUMMARY

A Development Application (DA201700624) was approved in 2018 for the holding of the annual ‘Bairro Portuguese Food and Wine Fair’ for a five year period (i.e. 4 March 2018, 17 March 2019, 15 March 2020, 14 March 2021 and 13 March 2022).

This year’s event will be held on Sunday 10 March 2019 (not 17 March 2019) and will necessitate the temporary closure of Audley Street (between Trafalgar Street and New Canterbury Road), Sadlier Crescent (between Audley Street and Abels Lane) and Fisher Street (between Audley Street and Regent Street), as well as the parking lanes on New Canterbury Road (between Gordon street and Audley Street), Petersham from 1.00am until 12.00 midnight as in previous years.

It is recommended that Council agree to the temporary road closures on Sunday 10 March 2019; apply to the RMS for consent to close the subject roads, subject to the event being advertised, a Traffic Management Plan be submitted to the RMS for approval and advice of the proposed event being forwarded to the appropriate authorities, including the Transport Management Centre.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the proposed temporary road closure of Audley Street (between Trafalgar Street and New Canterbury Road), Sadlier Crescent (between Audley Street and Abels Lane) and Fisher Street (between Audley Street and Regent Street), as well as the parking lanes on New Canterbury Road (between Gordon street and Audley Street), Petersham, on Sunday 10 March 2019, from 1.00am to 12.00 midnight, for the holding of the annual ‘Bairro Portuguese Food and Wine Fair’, be SUPPORTED subject to the applicant complying with but not limited to the following conditions;

1. A Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is submitted to and approved by the Roads and Maritime Services; and an application for a Road Occupancy Licence and a temporary Speed Zone Authorisation is forwarded to and approved by the Transport Management Centre;

2. Notice of the proposed event is forwarded to all affected residents and businesses, including the N.S.W. Police, Transit Systems Marrickville Local Area Commander, the Fire & Rescue NSW and NSW Ambulance;

3. Transit Systems – Inner West Bus Services be requested to implement a revised routing for scheduled bus services in Audley Street on the day of the event and install temporary bus stops as required; and
4. The occupation of the road carriageways must not occur until the roads have been physically closed.

**DISCUSSION**

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

THAT the proposed temporary road closure of Audley Street (between Trafalgar Street and New Canterbury Road), Sadlier Crescent (between Audley Street and Abels Lane) and Fisher Street (between Audley Street and Regent Street), as well as the parking lanes on New Canterbury Road (between Gordon street and Audley Street), Petersham, on Sunday 10 March 2019, from 1.00am to 12.00 midnight, for the holding of the annual ‘Bairro Portuguese Food and Wine Fair’, be SUPPORTED subject to the applicant complying with but not limited to the following conditions;

1. A Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is submitted to and approved by the Roads and Maritime Services; and an application for a Road Occupancy License and a temporary Speed Zone Authorisation is forwarded to and approved by the Transport Management Centre;

2. Notice of the proposed event is forwarded to all affected residents and businesses, including the N.S.W. Police, Transit Systems Marrickville Local Area Commander, the Fire & Rescue NSW and NSW Ambulance;

3. Transit Systems – Inner West Bus Services be requested to implement a revised routing for scheduled bus services in Audley Street on the day of the event and install temporary bus stops as required; and

4. The occupation of the road carriageways must not occur until the roads have been physically closed.

For motion: Unanimous

**LTC0219 Item 5 Temporary Road Closure - Cook Street (between Angelini Street and Brockley Street), Rozelle (Balmain Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)**

**SUMMARY**

An application has been received from Charles Lake Architect for the temporary full road closure of Cook Street (between Angelini Street and Brockley Street - 220m), Rozelle and partial road closure of Denison Street, Rozelle in the southbound parking and traffic lanes in front of Nos.18-20 Denison Street. The closure is to be undertaken on Monday, 11 March 2019, with a further one week contingency thereafter to carryout sewer works between 7am and 3pm. It is recommended that the proposed temporary full and partial road closure be approved, subject to the conditions outlined in the report.

**Officer’s Recommendation**

THAT:

1. The proposed temporary full road closure of Cook Street, between Angelini Street and Brockley Street, Rozelle and partial road closure of Denison Street in
the southbound parking and traffic lanes in front of Nos.18-20 Denison Street, Rozelle from 7am to 3pm, Monday 11 March 2019, with a further one week contingency thereafter, be approved in order to carry out sewer works as per the submitted TCP.

2. A Road Occupancy License be obtained by the applicant from the Transport Management Centre (where applicable).

3. All affected residents and businesses, including the NSW Police Local Area Commander, Fire & Rescue NSW and the NSW Ambulance Services be notified in writing, by the applicant, of the proposed temporary road closure at least 7 days in advance of the closure with the applicant making reasonable provision for stakeholders.

4. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. The proposed temporary full road closure of Cook Street, between Angelini Street and Brockley Street, Rozelle and partial road closure of Denison Street in the southbound parking and traffic lanes in front of Nos.18-20 Denison Street, Rozelle from 7am to 3pm, Monday 11 March 2019, with a further one week contingency thereafter, be approved in order to carry out sewer works as per the submitted TCP.

2. A Road Occupancy License be obtained by the applicant from the Transport Management Centre (where applicable).

3. All affected residents and businesses, including the NSW Police Local Area Commander, Fire & Rescue NSW and the NSW Ambulance Services be notified in writing, by the applicant, of the proposed temporary road closure at least 7 days in advance of the closure with the applicant making reasonable provision for stakeholders.

4. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 6 Canal Road and Lilyfield Road, Lilyfield - Temporary Road Closure for EDGE Greenway event (Leichhardt Ward / Balmain Electorate / Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Inner West Council is proposing to hold the ‘EDGE Greenway’ event on Saturday, 30 March 2019 along the Greenway, Lilyfield Road Bridge, Peace Grove and Blackmore Oval and will require the closure of Lilyfield Road and Canal Road, Lilyfield to facilitate the event.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT:
1. The proposed temporary full road closure of Lilyfield Road (between Maliyawul Street and Canal Road) and Canal Road (between Lilyfield Road and dead-end) for the ‘EDGE Greenway’ Event on Saturday, 30 March 2019 between 2:00pm and 10:00pm be approved as per the submitted TMP and TCP;

2. All residents and businesses in and around the affected area, including the NSW Police Local Area Commander, Fire & Rescue NSW (Leichhardt) and the NSW Ambulance Services to be notified of the temporary road closure in writing by the applicant in advance (at least 7 days prior to the event) with the applicant making reasonable provision for stakeholders;

3. A minimum four (4) metre unencumbered passage be available for emergency vehicles through the closed section; and

4. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed.

**DISCUSSION**

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

**THAT:**

1. The proposed temporary full road closure of Lilyfield Road (between Maliyawul Street and Canal Road) and Canal Road (between Lilyfield Road and dead-end) for the ‘EDGE Greenway’ Event on Saturday, 30 March 2019 between 2:00pm and 10:00pm be approved as per the submitted TMP and TCP;

2. All residents and businesses in and around the affected area, including the NSW Police Local Area Commander, Fire & Rescue NSW (Leichhardt) and the NSW Ambulance Services to be notified of the temporary road closure in writing by the applicant in advance (at least 7 days prior to the event) with the applicant making reasonable provision for stakeholders;

3. A minimum four (4) metre unencumbered passage be available for emergency vehicles through the closed section; and

4. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed.

For motion: Unanimous

**LTC0219 Item 7 Council Street, St Peters - Proposed Parking Restrictions (Marrickville Ward/ Heffron Electorate/Inner West PAC)**

**SUMMARY**

A request has been received from a resident to improve access due to the current parking situation on Council Street, St Peters. It has been reported that on numerous occasions, vehicles parked on both sides of Council Street, St Peters between Goodsell Street and the cul-de-sac have obstructed access for Transport for NSW utility vehicles along Council
Street.

The northern end of Council Street at the cul-de-sac serves as a corridor for Transport for NSW utility vehicles to access the railway land. On several occasions, a resident had witnessed utility vehicles unable to successfully manoeuvre along Council Street, resulting in damage to parked vehicles.

Additionally, parking is presently unrestricted on the western side of Council Street, between May Street and Goodsell Street. It is recommended that the ‘No Parking’ restrictions be extended along the western side of Council Street to prevent vehicles from parking along this kerb given the width constraints and permissible parking on the eastern side of Council Street between May Street and Goodsell Street.

It is recommended that the installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions be approved, in order to ensure safe thoroughfare of all vehicles along Council Street as the road width does not support parking on both sides of the street.

Officer’s Recommendation

That the installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions in Council Street, St Peters along the western side between May Street and the cul-de-sac (excluding the 90 degree angled parking spaces at the rear to the amenities building for Camdenville Oval) be APPROVED, in order to ensure safe thoroughfare of all vehicles along Council Street as the road width does not support parking on both sides of the street.

DISCUSSION

The representative for the Member for Heffron commented that some residents were originally concerned that there would be a loss of parking, however; they noted that the width of the street does not support parking on both sides of the street. The representative stated that the Member for Heffron supports the proposal.

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions in Council Street, St Peters along the western side between May Street and the cul-de-sac (excluding the 90 degree angled parking spaces at the rear to the amenities building for Camdenville Oval) be APPROVED, in order to ensure safe thoroughfare of all vehicles along Council Street as the road width does not support parking on both sides of the street.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 8 Gannon Lane, Tempe - Proposed Parking Restrictions (Marrickville Ward/Heffron Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

A concern has been raised by a resident from Gannon Lane regarding obstructed off -street parking access for residents and occupiers due to visitor vehicles parking over driveway crossings along Gannon Lane, Tempe.
Officer's Recommendation

That a proposal for ‘No Parking’ restrictions on the eastern side of Gannon Lane, Tempe NOT be supported at the present time due to insufficient support at present.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That a proposal for ‘No Parking’ restrictions on the eastern side of Gannon Lane, Tempe NOT be supported at the present time due to insufficient support at present.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 9 Lord Street, Newtown - Proposed kerb blister islands (Stanmore Ward, Newtown Electorate, Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Design plans have been developed for a proposed kerb blister island in Lord Street, Newtown, outside Nos.17-21 Lord Street, Newtown. It is recommended that the installation of kerb blister islands with associated signs and line markings be APPROVED as it will improve residents’ vehicular access.

Officer's Recommendation

That the proposed kerb blister islands in Lord Street, Newtown outside property Nos.17-21 Lord Street, Newtown, including associated signs and line markings, be APPROVED.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the proposed kerb blister islands in Lord Street, Newtown outside property Nos.17-21 Lord Street, Newtown, including associated signs and line markings, be APPROVED.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 10 Unnamed Lane - rear of No.39 Warren Road, Marrickville - Proposed ‘No Parking’ restriction (Marrickville Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Following representations from the community, Council is proposing to implement a section of ‘No Parking’ in the Unnamed Lane at the rear of No.39 Warren Road, Marrickville in order to facilitate access to off-street parking spaces for residents.
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Officer’s Recommendation

That the installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions on the southern side of the Unnamed Laneway, across the rear boundary of No.39 Warren Road, Marrickville (between Warren Road and Church Street) be approved.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions on the southern side of the Unnamed Laneway, across the rear boundary of No.39 Warren Road, Marrickville (between Warren Road and Church Street) be approved.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 11 Rosieville Lane, Balmain - Proposed ‘No Parking’ Restriction
(Balmain Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received concerns regarding obstructed access to off-street parking in Rosieville Lane (opposite the rear of No.82 Glassop Street), Balmain.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT a 5.5m ‘No Parking’ zone be installed on the south eastern side of Rosieville Lane, along the rear boundary of No.467 Darling Street, Balmain.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT a 5.5m ‘No Parking’ zone be installed on the south eastern side of Rosieville Lane, along the rear boundary of No.467 Darling Street, Balmain.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 12 Longport Street, Lewisham - Proposed extension of Peak Hour Parking Restrictions (Ashfield Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Following representation for the community, Council is proposing to extend the existing “No parking” restriction in Longport Street, Lewisham; in order to facilitate the flow of traffic through the intersection at Longport Street and Old Canterbury Road.
Officer’s Recommendation

That the existing ‘No Parking Mon-Fri 6:30am-9:30am; 3:30pm-6:30pm restrictions in Longport Street (opposite its intersection with Brown Street) be extended by a further 25m west.

DISCUSSION

Committee agreed to suggestion to extend the existing ‘No Parking Mon-Fri 6:30am-9:30am; 3:30pm-6:30pm’ in Longport Street to cover the length of road between the existing ‘No Stopping’ zone, west of Old Canterbury Road, and the ‘No Stopping’ zone associated with the pedestrian refuge on Longport Street immediately to the east of Grosvenor Crescent. This would remove up to three unrestricted parking spaces but would improve safety for cyclists and motorists by allowing cyclists to stay in the cycle lane as they approach Old Canterbury Road.

Council Officers to notify residents of the proposed prior to implementation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the existing ‘No Parking Mon-Fri 6:30am-9:30am; 3:30pm-6:30pm restrictions in Longport Street (northern side) be extended so that they cover the length of road between the existing ‘No Stopping’ zone on Longport Street west of Old Canterbury Road and the ‘No Stopping’ zone associated with the pedestrian refuge on Longport Street immediately to the east of Grosvenor Crescent.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 13 Cavey Street, Marrickville - Proposed ‘No Parking’ in cul de sac (Marrickville Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Inner west PAC)

SUMMARY

Following representation from the community, Council is proposing to implement a section of ‘No Parking’ in the cul-de-sac of Cavey Street, Marrickville in order to improve the manoeuvring for vehicles within the cul-de-sac without having to utilise private driveways or motorists having to reverse along Cavey Street in an attempt to exit the street.

Officer’s Recommendation

That ‘No Parking’ restrictions be installed in Cavey Street, Marrickville as follows;

- From boundary of property No.20A, 10 metres to the end of the street (eastern side) to a position of 6.5 metres from the cul-de-sac, (western side) and inclusive of all the area between the two signs.

DISCUSSION

Council Officers advised that a resident of Cavey Street made a late submission requesting the parking space adjacent to 20A Cavey Street to be retained. An investigation on-site indicated that a vehicle parked adjacent to 20A Cavey Street will not impact turning manoeuvres at the end of the cul de sac. As such, Council Officers suggested installing the ‘No Parking’ restrictions 5.5m south of No.20A to retain a parking space (Attachment 1).

The Committee members agreed with the revised proposal for the ‘No Parking’ restrictions.
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That 'No Parking' restrictions be installed in Cavey Street, Marrickville as follows;

- From a position 5.5m south of Property No.20A, to a position of 6.5 metres from the cul-de-sac, (western side) and inclusive of all the area between the two signs.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 14 Roach Street, Marrickville - 'Proposed No Parking' in cul de sac (Marrickville Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Following representations from the community Council is proposing to install ‘No Parking’ signage in the cul-de-sac of Roach Street, Marrickville to facilitate access to driveways and offstreet parking for a number of residences which have reported their access being obstructed leading to Enforcement Officers attending the location on a number of occasions.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the installation of full time ‘No Parking’ restrictions in the cul-de-sac commencing immediately south of the existing ‘Mobility Parking’ zone outside property No.2 Roach Street to a position one (1) metre to the north of the driveway of property No.3 Roach Street be approved.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the installation of full time ‘No Parking’ restrictions in the cul-de-sac commencing immediately south of the existing ‘Mobility Parking’ zone outside property No.2 Roach Street to a position one (1) metre to the north of the driveway of property No.3 Roach Street be approved.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 15 Cannon Lane, Stanmore - Proposed 'No Stopping' and 'No Parking' restrictions (Stanmore Ward/Newtown Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Following representations from the community, Council is proposing to restrict parking in Cannon Lane between Corunna Road and Westbourne Street, Stanmore; in order to allow for access to off-street parking spaces for residents and to facilitate the passage of service vehicles, including Council garbage trucks.

Officer’s Recommendation
THAT:

1. Installation of statutory 10 meters ‘No Stopping’ in Cannon Lane (both sides) at Corunna Road
2. Installation of statutory 10 meters ‘No Stopping’ in Cannon Lane (both sides) at Westbourne Street
3. Installation of ‘No Parking’ in Cannon Lane (both sides) from proposed ‘No Stopping’ zones between Corunna Road and Westbourne Street; be approved.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. Installation of statutory 10 meters ‘No Stopping’ in Cannon Lane (both sides) at Corunna Road
2. Installation of statutory 10 meters ‘No Stopping’ in Cannon Lane (both sides) at Westbourne Street
3. Installation of ‘No Parking’ in Cannon Lane (both sides) from proposed ‘No Stopping’ zones between Corunna Road and Westbourne Street; be approved.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 16 Silver Street, St Peters - Proposed 10m No Stopping at intersection with Edith Lane (Marrickville Ward/Heffron Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Following representations from residents regarding the visibility of pedestrians (including school children) at the intersection of Silver Street at Edith Street, St Peters; Council is proposing to install a 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone on the western side of Silver Street north of its intersection with Edith Lane. This proposal is designed to address pedestrian and vehicle visibility and manoeuvrability issues at this intersection.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the installation of a Statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone in Silver Street, St Peters, (western side) - at its intersection with Edith Lane (adjacent to property No. 2 Silver Street) be approved.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the installation of a Statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone in Silver Street, St Peters, (western side) - at its intersection with Edith Lane (adjacent to property No. 2 Silver Street) be approved.

For motion: Unanimous
LTC0219 Item 17 Brighton Street, Petersham - Intersection with Unnamed Lane - Proposed Parking Restrictions (Stanmore Ward/Newtown Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Following community representation, it is proposed to signpost statutory ‘No Stopping’ restrictions in Brighton Street, Petersham, at its intersection with an Unnamed Lane (located 30m east of Railway Street), in order to prevent illegal parking and improve safety at the entry into Brighton Street. Community feedback supporting implementation of parking restrictions and a revision to the proposal was sought. The revision is to implement ‘No Parking’ instead of ‘No Stopping’ on the western side of the laneway in Brighton Street due to the existence of a driveway in part of the proposed location. The proposal for ‘No Stopping’ restriction in Brighton Street east of the laneway was supported.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT:
1. The installation of 10m ‘No Stopping’ restriction in Brighton Street, Petersham east of Unnamed Lane (located 30m east of Railway Street), and
2. The installation of 10m ‘No Parking’ restriction in Brighton Street, Petersham west of Unnamed Lane (located 30m east of Railway Street); be approved.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT:
1. The installation of 10m ‘No Stopping’ restriction in Brighton Street, Petersham east of Unnamed Lane (located 30m east of Railway Street), and
2. The installation of 10m ‘No Parking’ restriction in Brighton Street, Petersham west of Unnamed Lane (located 30m east of Railway Street); be approved.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 18 Grove Street, Dulwich Hill - Extension of Existing Resident Permit Scheme Hours (Ashfield Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Following community representations, Council proposed an extension to the permit parking hours in Grove Street, Dulwich Hill. Consultation with owners and occupiers in Grove Street indicated that there was insufficient support to carry out any extension of the permit parking hours at the present time.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the proposal to extend the existing ‘2P Permit Holders Excepted 8:30am-6:00pm Mon-Fri’ Area M6 restrictions on the southern side of Grove Street, Dulwich Hill to ‘2P Permit Holders Excepted 8:30am-10:00pm Mon-Fri Area M6’ not be supported due to insufficient resident support at the present time.
DISCUSSION

Council Officers advised that two late submissions supporting the extension of the existing permit parking restrictions were received. With the additional submissions, there is a sufficient level of community support for the proposal and Council Officers recommend supporting the extension of the permit parking restrictions.

The Committee was advised that the head proponent had expressed a desire to attend the Local Traffic Committee meeting but was unable to do so.

The Committee members supported a recommendation to extend the permit parking hours in Grove Street, Dulwich Hill. Residents will be notified of decision.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the proposal to extend the existing ‘2P Permit Holders Excepted 8:30am-6:00pm Mon-Fri’ Area M6 restrictions on the southern side of Grove Street, Dulwich Hill to ‘2P Permit Holders Excepted 8:30am-10:00pm Mon-Fri Area M6’ be supported.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 19 Chapman Lane (rear of Nos.129 - 133 Annandale Street), Annandale - Proposed Extension of ‘No Parking’ zone (Leichhardt Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received concerns regarding parked vehicles obstructing access to off-street parking in Chapman Lane (rear of Nos.129 - 133 Annandale Street), Annandale. This matter was previously considered by the Committee last year and deferred for further consideration.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT a 10.8m ‘No Parking’ zone be installed on the eastern side of Chapman Lane (opposite the rear of Nos.129 - 133 Annandale Street), Annandale.

DISCUSSION

Public speakers: Ms Beverley Prunster and Mr Greg Prunster attended at 10.08am.

Ms Prunster objected to the proposed ‘No Parking’ zone and made the following comments:

- It is difficult to find parking in Johnston Street.
- Laneway parking is needed for work and service vehicles.
- Vehicles do not enter and exit from No.131 Annandale Street. The garage of No.133 Annandale Street is not used and no vehicles enter or exit the garage.
- Parking is permitted at the entrance of Chapman Lane even though it is opposite driveways and more obstructive to access than the parking space adjacent to her property.
- Photos were tabled showing use of the laneway and obstruction.
- Her mother who has a mobility parking sticker often cannot find parking on Johnston Street.
• The parking space adjacent to her garage should be retained for use by all residents and visitors.

Mr Prunster commented that:

• Their garage is currently full with seven vehicles so they have to use street parking.
• Johnstone Street parking is often used by commuters.
• The parking space proposed for removal is beneficial for visitors.

Ms and Mr Prunster left 10.15am.

Public speaker: Mr Jason Gooden attended at 10.18am.

Mr Gooden commented that:

• He and his neighbour applied to Council for the extension of the ‘No Parking’ zone in Chapman Lane due to parked vehicles frequently obstructing access to their off-street parking.
• He and his neighbour have a right to access their off-street parking.
• It has become increasingly difficult to park in Annandale Street due to nearby development and commuters.
• The lane is too narrow for a car to park without obstructing the turning path into his property.

Mr Gooden left at 10.23am.

Council Officers advised that resident parking was considered in Johnston Street at the former Leichhardt Council; however, Johnston Street is a State Road and RMS did not support a resident parking scheme at that time. The RMS representative advised that resident parking is generally not implemented on State Roads.

Clr Da Cruz asked whether a mobility parking space can be provided in Chapman Lane for Ms Prunster’s mother. Council Officers advised that Ms and Mr Prunster’s property would not be eligible for a mobility parking space as their property has sufficient off-street parking. It was also advised that there is insufficient space for a disabled parking space at this location.

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT a 10.8m ‘No Parking’ zone be installed on the eastern side of Chapman Lane (opposite the rear of Nos.129 - 133 Annandale Street), Annandale.

For motion: Unanimous
LTC0219 Item 20 Short Street, Dulwich Hill- Proposed Permit Parking restrictions
(Ashfield Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/LAC)

SUMMARY

Following representations to Council from the community, Council is proposing to implement permit parking restrictions in Short Street, Dulwich Hill; consistent with permit parking restrictions in nearby streets.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT:

1. Installation of 2P Permit Holders Excepted 8:30am-6pm Monday to Friday Area M7) restrictions in Short Street, Lewisham (south side); and
2. Installation of 6m ‘No Stopping’ restriction in Short Street (south side) at its intersection with Victoria Street; be approved

DISCUSSION

The RMS representative advised that a risk assessment for a proposed reduction in the statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ restriction should be submitted to RMS for review.

The Committee members agreed with part 1 of the Officer’s recommendation and recommended that the installation of the 6m ‘No Stopping’ zone be approved, subject to RMS approval of Council’s risk assessment.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. Installation of 2P Permit Holders Excepted 8:30am-6pm Monday to Friday Area M7) restrictions in Short Street, Lewisham (south side) be approved; and
2. Installation of 6m ‘No Stopping’ restriction in Short Street (south side) at its intersection with Victoria Street be approved, subject to RMS approving the risk assessment undertaken by Council.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 21 Little Theodore Street, Balmain - Proposed Extension of ‘No Parking’ zone (Balmain Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received concerns regarding obstructed driveway and pedestrian access in Little Theodore Street at the rear of No.46A Llewellyn Street, Balmain.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the existing 8.7m ‘2P 8am-10pm Permit Holders Excepted Area B1’ parking zone on the northern side of Little Theodore Street rear to No.44 Llewellyn Street, Balmain be reduced by 2.7m by extending the existing ‘No Parking’ zones on both the eastern and western sides of the parking space by 1.35m each.
DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the existing 8.7m ‘2P 8am-10pm Permit Holders Excepted Area B1’ parking zone on the northern side of Little Theodore Street rear to No.44 Llewellyn Street, Balmain be reduced by 2.7m by extending the existing ‘No Parking’ zones on both the eastern and western sides of the parking space by 1.35m each.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 22 Frampton Lane, Marrickville - Request for ‘No Parking’ Restrictions (Marrickville Ward / Summer Hill Electorate / Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Representations have been received from a local resident for the installation of full time ‘No Parking’ restrictions in Frampton Lane, Marrickville on the western side of Frampton Lane, northward of the junction of Seymour Lane and Central Lane, to improve access to off-street parking as vehicular access is often blocked by parked vehicles in the laneway. Residents have been notified of the proposal. It is recommended that the proposal be approved.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT:

1. The installation of a 15 metre ‘No Parking’ zone on the western side of Frampton Lane, northward of the junction of Seymour Lane and Central Lane, adjacent to property No.289 Victoria Road, Marrickville be APPROVED, in order to provide unobstructed vehicular access to the off-street car parking spaces and

2. The applicant and Council’s Parking Officers be advised in terms of this report.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. The installation of a 15 metre ‘No Parking’ zone on the western side of Frampton Lane, northward of the junction of Seymour Lane and Central Lane, adjacent to property No.289 Victoria Road, Marrickville be APPROVED, in order to provide unobstructed vehicular access to the off-street car parking spaces and

2. The applicant and Council’s Parking Officers be advised in terms of this report.

For motion: Unanimous
LTC0219 Item 23 Minor Traffic Facilities (All Wards/All Electorates/All LAC's)

SUMMARY

This report considers minor traffic facility applications received by Inner West Council, including ‘Disabled Parking’ and ‘Works Zone’ requests.

Officer's Recommendation

THAT:

1. The 6m ‘1 hour Parking’ space in lieu of a Disabled Parking zone in front of No.32 Lamb Street, Lilyfield be removed as the zone is no longer required;

2. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.2-4 Lookes Avenue, Balmain East;

3. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.2/18 and No.3/18 George Street, Balmain;

4. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.24 John Street, Ashfield;

5. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.36 Tupper Street, Enmore;

6. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed front of No.39 Shaw Street Petersham;

7. A 3.5m (45-degree angle parking) ‘Disabled Parking' zone be installed in front of No.158 Trafalgar Street, Annandale;

8. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.18 Foreman Street, Tempe;

9. A 9m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am – 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.23 Darling Street, Balmain for 12 weeks, temporarily replacing the resident parking restrictions;

10. A 12m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am – 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.67 and No.69 Audley Street, Petersham for 12 weeks, temporarily replacing the resident parking restrictions;

11. A 9m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am – 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.32 George Street, Marrickville for 10 weeks;

12. An 11m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am – 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.19 Margaret Street, Stanmore for 8 weeks.

13. A 14m 'Works Zone 7:00am – 5:00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am-1.00pm Sat’ be installed in Eton Street, along the side boundary of No. 101 Australia Street, Camperdown for 12 weeks.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.
COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. The 6m ‘1 hour Parking’ space in lieu of a Disabled Parking zone in front of No.32 Lamb Street, Lilyfield be removed as the zone is no longer required;

2. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.2-4 Lookes Avenue, Balmain East;

3. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.2/18 and No.3/18 George Street, Balmain;

4. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.24 John Street, Ashfield;

5. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.36 Tupper Street, Enmore;

6. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed front of No.39 Shaw Street Petersham;

7. A 3.5m (45-degree angle parking) ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.158 Trafalgar Street, Annandale;

8. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.18 Foreman Street, Tempe;

9. A 9m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am – 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.23 Darling Street, Balmain for 12 weeks, temporarily replacing the resident parking restrictions;

10. A 12m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am – 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.67 and No.69 Audley Street, Petersham for 12 weeks, temporarily replacing the resident parking restrictions;

11. A 9m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am – 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.32 George Street, Marrickville for 10 weeks;

12. An 11m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am – 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.19 Margaret Street, Stanmore for 8 weeks.

13. A 14m ‘Works Zone 7:00am – 5:00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am-1.00pm Sat’ be installed in Eton Street, along the side boundary of No. 101 Australia Street, Camperdown for 12 weeks.

For motion: Unanimous
LTC0219 Item 24 Susan Lane, Annandale - Extension of Existing Resident Parking Scheme (Leichhardt Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received correspondence from a number of residents of Susan Lane, Annandale requesting an extension to the existing Resident Parking Scheme (RPS) in Susan Lane northwards towards the closed section (frontage of No.30 Susan Lane) to address issues with long stay parking by non-resident vehicles.

This report provides the result of the RPS investigation in Susan Lane, Annandale.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the existing ‘2P, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri, Permit Holders Excepted, Area A1’ restrictions in Susan Lane, Annandale be extended northwards to the closed section (along the frontage of No.30 Susan Lane).

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the existing ‘2P, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri, Permit Holders Excepted, Area A1’ restrictions in Susan Lane, Annandale be extended northwards to the closed section (along the frontage of No.30 Susan Lane).

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 25 King Lane, Balmain - ‘No Parking’ zone (Balmain Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received a request to convert an existing ‘2P 8am-10pm Permit Holders Excepted Area B1’ zone to a ‘No Parking’ zone in King Lane, Balmain on the side boundary of Nos.24 - 26 King Street to allow access to a newly constructed garage at the rear of No.35 Birchgrove Road.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the existing 12.5m ‘2P 8am-10pm Permit Holders Excepted Area B1’ resident parking zone in King Lane on the side boundary of Nos.24-26 King Street be converted to a ‘No Parking’ zone.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the existing 12.5m ‘2P 8am-10pm Permit Holders Excepted Area B1’ resident parking zone in King Lane on the side boundary of Nos.24-26 King Street be converted
to a ‘No Parking’ zone.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 26 Rawson Street, Haberfield - Proposed ‘15 Minute’ Parking Zone
(Leichhardt Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Burwood PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received a request to install short term parking in Rawson Street, close to the intersection of Ramsay Street to improve accessibility for patrons of Haberfield Post Office with mobility issues.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT:

1. The existing 9 metre ‘1P 8.30am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri, 8.30am – 12.30pm Sat’ and ‘No Parking – Australia Post Vehicles Excepted’ zones on the eastern side of Rawson Street, north of Ramsay Street be reduced to 6 metre zones.

2. An additional 6m ‘P15 minute 8.30am-6.00pm Mon-Fri, 8.30am-12.30pm Sat’ zone be installed immediately north of the 6m ‘No Parking – Australia Post Vehicles Excepted’ zone.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation and noted the tabled email support from Burwood PAC.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. The existing 9 metre ‘1P 8.30am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri, 8.30am – 12.30pm Sat’ and ‘No Parking – Australia Post Vehicles Excepted’ zones on the eastern side of Rawson Street, north of Ramsay Street be reduced to 6 metre zones.

2. An additional 6m ‘P15 minute 8.30am-6.00pm Mon-Fri, 8.30am-12.30pm Sat’ zone be installed immediately north of the 6m ‘No Parking – Australia Post Vehicles Excepted’ zone.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 27 Parramatta Road, Leichhardt - Proposed ‘1 hour’ Parking Zone
(Leichhardt Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received a request from a local business to convert the existing ‘1/2P’ parking restriction to a ‘1P’ restriction on the northern side of Parramatta Road, between Rofe Street and Renwick Street, Leichhardt to ensure customers have sufficient time to visit the nearby businesses.
Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the existing ‘1/2P 10am-3pm Mon-Fri, 8:30am-12:30pm Sat’ parking restrictions on the northern side of Parramatta Road between Rofe Street and Renwick Street, Leichhardt be converted to ‘1P 10am-3pm Mon-Fri, 8:30am-12:30pm Sat’ restrictions, subject to RMS approval.

DISCUSSION

The RMS representative advised that as Parramatta Road is a State Road, the matter should be referred directly to RMS for consideration instead of the Local Traffic Committee.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the item be referred to RMS for its consideration for approval.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 28 Young Street at Collins Street, Annandale - Proposed modification to existing ‘No Stopping’ zone (Leichhardt Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

SUMMARY

Concerns have been raised from a resident that the existing ‘No Stopping’ zone in Young Street at the intersection of Collins Street, Annandale restricts temporary drop-off and pick-up across the driveway of No.52 Collins Street, Annandale.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the existing 18.4m ‘No Stopping’ zone be reduced to 13.4m on the eastern side of Young Street, south of Collins Street, Annandale.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the existing 18.4m ‘No Stopping’ zone be reduced to 13.4m on the eastern side of Young Street, south of Collins Street, Annandale.

For motion: Unanimous
LTC0219 Item 29  Old Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill - Proposed 'No Stopping' restrictions between Constitution Road and No.275 Old Canterbury Road, Dulwich Hill.
(Ashfield Ward/ Summer Hill Electorate/ Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received complaints regarding congestion and delay/banking up of traffic along Old Canterbury Road between Constitution Road and Abergeldie Street as a result of vehicles turning right into Henson Street from Old Canterbury Road, and with vehicles parking adjacent to the kerb side lane.

It is proposed to remove or limit parking with full-time and part-time ‘No Stopping’ restrictions on the southern side of Old Canterbury Road from Constitution Road to the west side of driveway of No. 275 Old Canterbury Road.

This would allow adequate length of clearance along the kerb side lane for traffic to generally travel and manoeuvre around waiting vehicles turning right into Henson Street.

Support is therefore sought on the following recommendation.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT:

1. the kerb space on the southern side of Old Canterbury Road between Constitution Road and 10 metres west of an existing pedestrian refuge be signposted full-time “No Stopping”.
2. the kerb space thereafter on the southern side of Old Canterbury Road to the west side of the driveway of No. 275 Old Canterbury Road be signposted as “No Stopping 8.00am-9.30am; 2.30pm-4.00pm Mon-Fri School Days Only”.

DISCUSSION

The RMS representative advised that as Old Canterbury Road is a State Road, the matter should be referred directly to RMS for consideration instead of the Local Traffic Committee. The representative also requested that community consultation not be undertaken until RMS investigates the matter.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the item be referred to RMS for consideration.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 30 Liberty Street and Bedford Street, Stanmore – Temporary full road closure for rail overbridge refurbishment works – notice of change of date of works in March 2019 (STANMORE WARD /NEWTOWN ELECTORATE/ INNER WEST PAC)

SUMMARY

Notice of changes in dates for rail overbridge works and pending road closures of Liberty Street and Bedford Street, Stanmore in March 2019 November have been received from Sydney Trains. Due to special events two closedowns have been rescheduled for the road
Local Traffic Committee Meeting
4 March 2019
Minutes of 4 February 2019

Closures. Temporary full road closures that were scheduled for Liberty Road (between Railway Avenue and Trafalgar Street) and Bedford Street (between Liberty Street and Pierce Street), Stanmore on Friday 8 to Monday 11 March 2019 are now scheduled for Friday 15 March to Monday 18 March 2019 and those scheduled for Friday 1 to 4 November 2019 are now scheduled for Friday 11 October to Monday 14 October 2019.

The streets will be temporarily closed to all vehicular traffic, including emergency vehicles and local residents. It is recommended that the proposed change of date for the temporary full road closures be approved, subject to the conditions outlined in this report.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the proposed temporary full road closure of Liberty Road (between Railway Avenue and Trafalgar Street) and Bedford Street (between Liberty Street and Pierce Street), Stanmore on 15 to 18 March 2019 and 11 to 14 October 2019 be approved, in order to carry out refurbishment works on the Liberty Street Stanmore rail over bridge subject but not limited to the following conditions:

1. A Road Occupancy License be obtained by the applicant from the Transport Management Centre;

2. All affected residents and businesses, including the NSW Police Local Area Commander, Fire & Rescue NSW and the NSW Ambulance Services be notified in writing, by the applicant, of the proposed temporary road closure at least 7 days in advance of the closure with the applicant making reasonable provision for stakeholders; and

3. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the proposed temporary full road closure of Liberty Road (between Railway Avenue and Trafalgar Street) and Bedford Street (between Liberty Street and Pierce Street), Stanmore on 15 to 18 March 2019 and 11 to 14 October 2019 be approved, in order to carry out refurbishment works on the Liberty Street Stanmore rail over bridge subject but not limited to the following conditions:

1. A Road Occupancy License be obtained by the applicant from the Transport Management Centre;

2. All affected residents and businesses, including the NSW Police Local Area Commander, Fire & Rescue NSW and the NSW Ambulance Services be notified in writing, by the applicant, of the proposed temporary road closure at least 7 days in advance of the closure with the applicant making reasonable provision for stakeholders; and

3. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed.
For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 31 The ‘Gear Up Girl’ Bicycle Event (Marrickville Ward/Summer Hill & Heffron Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Advice has been received from Bicycle NSW regarding the 'Gear Up Girl' bicycle challenge to be held on Sunday, 10 March 2019, which will involve cycling through the Inner West Local Government Area (LGA).

It is recommended that Council supports the proposed cycling event, subject to the event being advertised, a Traffic Management Plan be submitted to the RMS for approval and advice of the proposed event be forwarded to the appropriate authorities and affected properties by the applicant.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT the ‘Gear Up Girl’ bicycle event to be held on Sunday 10 March 2019 be supported subject to the applicant complying with the following conditions:

1. The event being advertised in the local newspapers by the applicant in advance of the event;
2. A Traffic Management Plan being submitted by the applicant to the Roads and Maritime Services for consideration and approval;
3. Details of the proposed event be forwarded by the applicant to the Transport Management Centre, Transit Systems, Inner West PAC, Fire & Rescue NSW and NSW Ambulance Service; and
4. Adequate traffic control shall be provided for the protection and convenience of pedestrians, cyclists and motorists including appropriate signage and flagging. Workers shall be specially designated for this role (and carry appropriate certificates), as necessary to comply with this condition. This is to be carried out in accordance with the Australian Standard AS 1742.3 – Traffic Control Devices for works on roads.

DISCUSSION

The representative for the Member for Summer Hill questioned the contingency route where cyclists would have to take their bike up stairs to the Tempe train station bridge. Council Officers advised that this route was agreed upon a number of years ago as it was considered a safer route. Richardson Crescent was considered for the contingency route but was not agreed on due to traffic conditions and the narrowness of the footpath at the overbridge.

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT the ‘Gear Up Girl’ bicycle event to be held on Sunday 10 March 2019 be supported subject to the applicant complying with the following conditions:

1. The event being advertised in the local newspapers by the applicant in advance of the event;
2. A Traffic Management Plan being submitted by the applicant to the Roads and Maritime Services for consideration and approval;

3. Details of the proposed event be forwarded by the applicant to the Transport Management Centre, Transit Systems, Inner West PAC, Fire & Rescue NSW and NSW Ambulance Service; and

4. Adequate traffic control shall be provided for the protection and convenience of pedestrians, cyclists and motorists including appropriate signage and flagging. Workers shall be specially designated for this role (and carry appropriate certificates), as necessary to comply with this condition. This is to be carried out in accordance with the Australian Standard AS 1742.3 – Traffic Control Devices for works on roads.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 32 WestConnex New M5 Operational Parking & Access Strategy Consultation (Marrickville Ward/Heffron Electorate/Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has been invited to provide feedback on the WestConnex New M5 Operational Parking & Access Strategy. The document outlines the temporary and permanent changes to on-street parking supply in St Peters and Alexandria. Council officers have reviewed the document and provided feedback based on the issues received from the community.

Officer’s Recommendation

THAT this report be received and noted.

DISCUSSION

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

THAT this report be received and noted.

For motion: Unanimous

LTC0219 Item 33 3-7 & 13-17 Regent Street, 287-309 Trafalgar Street & 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham - DA201800173 – Residential and Mixed Use Development and RSL Relocation over 3 Sites (Stanmore Ward / Newtown Electorate / Inner West PAC)

SUMMARY

Council has received a development application for 3-7 & 13-17 Regent Street, 287-309 Trafalgar Street & 16-20 Fisher Street, Petersham to demolish existing improvements for the staged construction of 357 apartments over 3 sites with associated basement parking. 3-7 Regent Street is to be comprised of a residential flat building ranging from 5 to 8 storeys containing 108 apartments with basement parking. 13-17 Regent Street is to be comprised of 3 residential buildings ranging from 6 to 7 storeys with 50 apartments and basement parking. 287-309 Trafalgar Street and 16-20 Fisher Street is to be comprised of a mixed use building ranging from 2 to 11 storeys in height containing a new registered club premises for the Petersham RSL Club fronting Trafalgar Street, café and 3 residential flat buildings containing
196 apartments and 3 x 2 storey Soho apartments fronting Fozzard lane with associated basement parking and public domain works linking Regent Street to Fozzard Lane. Approval is also sought for the subdivision of land to accommodate widening of Fozzard Lane as well as the stratum subdivision of lots in the development.

The application is required to be referred to the Local Traffic Committee for consideration under State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007.

Comments of the Local Traffic Committee will be referred to Council’s Development Assessment Section for consideration in determining the Development Application.

**Officer’s Recommendation**

THAT the report be received and noted.

**DISCUSSION**

The Committee members agreed with the Officer’s recommendation.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

THAT the report be received and noted.

For motion: Unanimous

**General Business**

LTC0219 Item 34 Bus Stop outside Camperdown WestConnex site

The representative for the Member for Balmain stated that a complaint was received regarding the bus stop outside the Westconnex site in Camperdown. The bus stop has been reduced, has no shelter and, due to the narrowness of the footpath, pedestrians find it difficult to pass the bus stop. The RMS representative advised that RMS have raised this issue with WestConnex.

LTC0219 Item 35 Proposed Development at 63-65 Pyrmont Bridge Road, Annandale

The representative for the Member for Balmain asked why Council did not support vehicular access to the proposed development at 63-65 Pyrmont Bridge Road, Annandale from the corner of Mallet Street and Bignell Lane. Council Officers advised that a report on this development was presented to the Local Traffic Committee in 2018 and Officers will send a copy of this report to the representative.

LTC0219 Item 36 Traffic at the intersection of Martin Street and Ramsay Street, Haberfield

The representative for the Member for Summer Hill stated that a resident of Martin Street, Haberfield expressed concern with entry and egress to Martin Street into Ramsay Street. The resident reported that the removal of ‘Keep Intersection Clear’ road markings from Ramsay Street has resulted in queuing across the entry of Martin Street. The resident also reported that the queuing of traffic has made it difficult for Martin Street residents to exit onto Ramsay Street and there have been a number of accidents and near misses since the road markings were removed. The representative for the Member for Summer Hill requested that
traffic in the area be reviewed and tabled the resident’s email to Council Officers for consideration.

Addendum: Council forwarded resident’s concern to RMS late last year and will follow up with RMS.

**LTC0219 Item 37  Parking for Metro Assist staff in Ashfield**

The representative for the Member for Summer Hill stated that Metro Assist in Ashfield are seeking support for a parking solution for their staff, such as dedicated parking spaces for Metro Assist or a parking permit. Due to the nature of their work, staff at Metro Assist cannot leave clients to check on their vehicles parked in Ashfield Mall which has a 3 hour limit. As a result, the staff have been receiving parking fines.

Council Officers advised that the City of Sydney manages a Support Worker Parking permit system that extends to the Inner West where recognised organisations can obtain a parking permit for carers

**LTC0219 Item 38  Pedestrian crossing in front of Dulwich Hill Public School**

The representative for the Member for Summer Hill stated that the Member wrote to Council on behalf of a resident regarding the pedestrian crossing in front of Dulwich Hill Public School. Council Officers advised that Council’s Road Safety Officer has made contact with that resident and will be having a site meeting to discuss the crossing on 8 February 2019.

**LTC0219 Item 39  Heavy vehicle use on Campbell Street, St Peters**

The representative for the Member for Heffron asked whether there are any new restrictions on heavy vehicle use on Campbell Street, St Peters. The RMS representative stated that RMS has requested this information from WestConnex and will advise Council when the information becomes available.

**LTC0219 Item 40  Closed footpath on Parramatta Road, Ashfield**

The Inner West Bicycle Coalition representative stated that the footpath on the south side of Parramatta Road, between Bland Street and Orpington Street, Ashfield, has been closed. The representative asked if the footpath could be reopened. The RMS representative advised that this can be investigated; however, RMS is not aware of WestConnex plans at that location.

Meeting closed at 11am.
ATTACHMENT 1

Proposed ‘No Parking’ restrictions in Cavey Street, Marrickville
This page has been left blank intentionally.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 1
Subject: White Street, Lilyfield - Proposed 10m 'No Stopping' restriction at intersection with Moore Lane (Baludarri Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

Prepared By: Brinthaban Baskaran - Student Traffic Engineer
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
Council has received a request to signpost the statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ restriction at the intersection of White Street and Moore Lane, Lilyfield in order to prevent illegal parking and improve sight lines.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT a 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones on the western side of White Street, north of Moore Lane

BACKGROUND
Council has received concern regarding vehicles obstructing sight lines by parking too close to the intersection of White Street at Moore Lane, Lilyfield.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Nil.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
In order to alleviate this issue, it is proposed to signpost a 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone on the western side of White Street, immediately north of Moore Lane as shown on the following plan.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION
A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties (7 properties) in White Street, Lilyfield and Moore Street, Leichhardt.
No responses were received.

CONCLUSION
Nil.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 2
Subject: Grove Street, Birchgrove - Proposed 10m ‘No Stopping’ restrictions at intersection with The Terrace (Baludarri Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

Prepared By: Brinthaban Baskaran - Student Traffic Engineer
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
Council has received a request to signpost the statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ restriction at the intersection of Grove Street and The Terrace, Birchgrove in order to prevent illegal parking and improve sight lines.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones be installed on the western side of Grove Street, north and south of The Terrace, Birchgrove.

BACKGROUND
Council has received concerns regarding vehicles obstructing sight lines by parking too close to the intersection of Grove Street at The Terrace, Birchgrove.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Nil.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
In order to alleviate this issue, it is proposed to signpost 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones on the western side of Grove Street, north and south of The Terrace as shown on the following plan.
PUBLIC CONSULTATION

A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties (8 properties) in Grove Street, Birchgrove.

Two responses were received, one in support and one in objection of the proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident’s comments</th>
<th>Officer Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Given it is already illegal to park within 10 metres of the two areas; the proposed signage is not necessary and adds to the visual excess of notices.</td>
<td>The proposal is deemed necessary to formalise the statutory requirement and to improve sightlines at the intersection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 3
Subject: Church Street, Ashfield - Proposed 10m 'No Stopping' restrictions at intersection with Unnamed Lane (Djarrawunang Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Inner West PAC)
Prepared By: Brinthaban Baskaran - Student Traffic Engineer
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
Council has received a request to signpost the statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ restriction at the intersection of Church Street and Unnamed Lane, Ashfield in order to prevent illegal parking and improve sight lines.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones be installed on the western side of Church Street, north and south of the Unnamed Lane, Ashfield.

BACKGROUND
Council has received concerns from a resident regarding vehicles obstructing sight lines and manoeuvring space by parking too close to the intersection of Church Street at the Unnamed Lane, Ashfield.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Nil.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
In order to alleviate this issue, it is proposed to signpost 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones on the western side of Church Street, north and south of the Unnamed Lane as shown on the following plan.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION
A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties (13 properties) in Church Street, Ashfield.
Five responses were received, two in support; two in objection and one suggesting Motorcycle Parking in lieu of the proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residents’ Comments</th>
<th>Officer Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I support Council’s proposal as I am aware of the risks of collisions that motorists face trying to exit the laneway onto Church Street.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I object to Council’s proposal as I have lived on this street for 20 years and have been unaware of any collisions occurring. Parking is premium at this location and I see no purpose of restricting parking unless it is a genuine black spot.</td>
<td>It should be noted that under the NSW Road Rules, it is illegal to park within 10 metres of an intersection without traffic lights, unless a parking control sign applies indicating that the driver is permitted to park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would consider this unnamed laneway a driveway which will disregard NSW Road Rules as it will not apply to driveways. I propose to make the proposed ‘No Stopping’ zone into a designated ‘Motorcycle Parking’ spot as motorcycles will not restrict sight or cause a hazard in this area.</td>
<td>The unnamed laneway is a public road for local resident access and as such the road rules still apply. ‘Motorcycle’ parking cannot be installed within the statutory 10m distance as it will conflict with manoeuvring of traffic in and out of the laneway and would not comply with the Road Rule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I support Council’s proposal as it is currently very difficult to enter Church Street without my view being obstructed by parked vehicles. Can Council consider installing a traffic calming device along Church Street to reduce excessive speeding which occurs between Frederick Street and Alt Street?</td>
<td>Council will investigate the speeding concerns on Church Street as a separate matter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION
Nil.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
SUMMARY
Detailed design plans have been finalised for the proposed traffic signalised intersection of Victoria Road and Chapel Road, Marrickville. The purpose of the proposed works are to increase pedestrian and vehicular safety at the subject intersection as crash statistics indicate there have been 22 reported crashes between July 2010 and June 2015 at the time of submitting the Blackspot application.

The proposed works include decommissioning the existing signalised pedestrian crossing on Victoria Road, installation of traffic signals at Victoria Road & Chapel Street intersection, relocation of the existing ‘Bus Zone’ on the eastern side of Victoria Street and installation of signage and road markings. As a result of the proposal five on-street parking will be lost to cater for the turning movements of expected truck at the intersection.

The proposal will result in a net-loss of five (5) on-street parking spaces, removal of one (1) significant street tree and gain of four (4) trees in appropriate locations.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the detailed design plan for the proposed traffic signals at the intersection of Victoria Road and Chapel Street, Marrickville, including associated signposting and line marking (as per the attached plan No. 10039) be approved.

BACKGROUND

In 2016 Council had a blackspot assessment report undertaken for the intersection due to ongoing safety concerns at the intersection. In 2017 Council submitted an application for a blackspot grant to the RMS as the subject intersection had 22 reported crashes between July 2010 and June 2015, including one fatality. An updated 5 year crash history between July 2013 and June 2017 revealed 16 reported crashes during this period. As there was a high number of recorded accidents at the intersection, as well as satisfying the RMS’s signal warrant guidelines, Council’s grant application for traffic signals was approved.

A detailed design for the proposed traffic signals at the intersection has now been completed and this design (refer to attached design) has Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) approval. Construction of the proposed traffic signals is programmed for the current financial year.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Funding of $325,000 has been allocated by Council under the 2018/19 Capital Works Program for Traffic Facilities as part of the Australian Government’s 2018/19 Black Spot Program Funding.
OTHER STAFF COMMENTS

Victoria Road is a regional road, running north to south, connecting Enmore and Marrickville. There are two (2) lanes in each direction with kerbside parking on both sides outside of ‘No Parking’ restrictions during peak hours;

- Northbound – ‘No Parking 7am-9am Mon-Fri’;
- Southbound – ‘No Parking 4pm-6pm Mon-Fri’.

Chapel Street is a local street, running east to west between Illawarra Road and Fitzroy Street. There are kerbside parking present.

The current intersection configuration of Victoria Road & Chapel Street is a Give Way /Stop control, with vehicles on Chapel Street approaching:

- From the west, requiring to Stop and yield to vehicles travelling on Victoria Road,
- From the east, requiring to Give Way to vehicles travelling on Victoria Road.

There is a mid-block signalized pedestrian crossing on Victoria Road, approximately 30m south of the intersection, primarily servicing school children of Marrickville Public School.

Recommendations addressing the identified safety issues present have been included in the final proposed design plans in the attempt to improve safety at the location. These recommendations have been included in the design plans for the intersection.

The proposed work includes:

- New traffic signals at the intersection and removing the mid-block traffic signals on Victoria Road,
- Four (4) new pram ramps at the intersection, combined with footpath rehabilitation,
- Relocating existing bus zone on the northern side of Victoria Road by eight (8) west to improve sightline visibility for vehicles on Chapel Street, travelling north-west,
- Removing one (1) large existing street tree at the intersection to improve sightlines. The designer has investigated various design options to retain the existing tree on the eastern corner of Victoria Road and Chapel Street. However in order to improve safety for pedestrians and motorists the tree is required to be removed. Council has identified locations for five (5) new street trees (in lieu of the removal of this tree) at more appropriate locations (as shown on the attached plan)
- Installation of new signage and line marking associated with traffic signals as per RMS Technical Guidelines.

An RMS requirement for the installation of traffic signals is that BB Centrelines are marked on all approaches to the traffic signals. This will result in the net-loss of five (5) parking spaces within the vicinity of the proposed traffic signals. This is because of the narrowness of Chapel Street. The location of the parking space loss/gain is as follows:

- Five (5) on-street parking spaces lost on Chapel Street, south of Victoria Road,
- One (1) on-street parking space lost on western side of Chapel Street, north of Victoria Road,
- Two (2) on-street parking spaces lost on the northern side of Victoria Road, east of Chapel Street,
- Three (3) on-street parking spaces gained on the southern side of Victoria Road, east of Chapel Street.

As required by the RMS to ensure traffic flows are as efficient and safe as possible, the following turning movements will not be permitted at the new signals:

- Vehicles over 6m in length will not be permitted to turn left into Chapel Street from Victoria Road, travelling in the north-east direction,
- Vehicles over 9m in length will not be permitted to turn left into Chapel Street from Victoria Road travelling in the south-west direction, or to turn left into Victoria Road from Chapel Street travelling in either direction.
PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Engagement letters were sent to residents and businesses on 29 January 2019 to 138 properties, as well as being exhibited on Council’s ‘Have Your Say’ engagement website. The consultation period closed on the 20th February 2019. The engagement letter and plan was also sent to the Marrickville Public School community for comment.

A total of thirty six (36) responses were received; one (1) response was received against the proposal and thirty-five (35) responses were received in support of the proposal. The breakdown of the responses received is listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you support the changes</th>
<th>Residents within the area</th>
<th>Residents outside the area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, with changes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residents’ Comments

28 residents supported the proposal with no additional comments.

Officer’s Response

The residents’ support has been noted.

The proposal has been endorsed by Council as part of the Henson Local Area Traffic Management (LATM) plan which was widely consulted with residents, and has been included as part of Council’s 4 year Capital Works Program for Traffic Facilities.

7 residents supported the proposal with additional comments such as:
1. The ‘No Stopping’ sign in front of 135 Victoria Rd should be moved to 133 Victoria Rd as vehicles should not be parking across the driveway.
2. The tree in front of the school zone sign, travelling up Chapel St towards Illawarra Rd and Marrickville Public School, is obscuring the sign and should be removed.
3. The tree on the corner of Victoria Rd and Chapel St should not be removed or be replanted elsewhere.
4. That Council ensures cars are not parking along the pavement and using the pavement between driveways as turning circles on the southern side of Victoria Rd.
5. The bus stop on the northwestern side of Victoria Rd should be on the departure side of the intersection to improve efficiency of the bus services.
6. That the kerb blister with tree

1. The residents’ comments have been noted. The driveway in question is currently signposted as “No Parking 7am – 9am, Mon - Fri”. Driveways are “No Parking” zones, and it is recommended to change the signage to “No Parking (full-time)” across the driveway and relocate the “No Parking 7am – 9am, Mon - Fri” sign to in front of 133 Victoria Rd, as per the attached plan.
2. This issue will be referred to Council’s Traffic and Trees section for investigation and action.
3. Unfortunately the tree cannot be retained and Council have allowed for 5 replacement trees as part of the design. (Refer to main report for additional details on the tree removal). The tree is unable to be replanted elsewhere.
4. This issue will be referred to Council’s Enforcement Team for monitoring.
5. Transit Systems have requested the bus stop on the northern side to be on the approach side of the intersection. If this bus stop was relocated further north, the spacing would not meet the 400 metre spacing requirements from TfNSW between bus stops.
6. The residents’ comments have been noted. In order to off-set the removal of the large tree on the corner, Council has included this additional tree. The inclusion of the tree and kerb blister (with a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Item 3</strong></th>
<th><strong>Item 4</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>planting in Chapel Street be removed to retain an additional parking space, particularly due to the loss of parking in the area, as well as the limited movements to turn into the businesses driveway due to the turning restrictions at the intersection. I.e: once the proposed “No Right Turn for large vehicles” from Chapel St into Victoria RD” is implemented, there will be only one option available for Vehicles leaving the property i.e. to turn Right from the property(without restriction) into Chapel St. 7. Parking is a premium in the area and the loss of parking will affect the businesses in the area. Please protect the parking spaces and reduce any loss of parking.</td>
<td>smaller footprint than a standard car) does not impact on turning movements of the adjacent driveways, although there is a loss of an on-street car parking space to accommodate this proposed new street tree. 7. The residents’ comments have been noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 resident did not support the proposal, and in their opinion was a waste of money.</td>
<td>The resident’s comment has been noted. Due to the number of accidents at the intersection, this project has received Blackspot funding to increase safety.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSION**

It is recommended that the detailed design plan (Victoria Road & Chapel Street, Marrickville - New Traffic Signal Design Plan No. 10039) of the proposed traffic signals and associated works, signs and line markings be approved, to improve safety conditions at the intersection of Victoria Road & Chapel Street, Marrickville.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. [ Victoria Road & Chapel Street, Marrickville - New Traffic Signal Design Plan No. 10039 ]
Item No: LTC0319 Item 5  
Subject: Lawson Avenue, Marrickville - Raised Threshold (Midjuburi Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Inner West PAC)  
Prepared By: Scipio Tam - Engineer - Traffic and parking Services (South)  
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
Detailed design plans have been finalised for the proposed raised threshold in Lawson Avenue, Marrickville.

The proposed work includes construction of a raised threshold, road re-sheeting, footpath rehabilitation, kerb & gutter reconstruction, drainage works and associated signage and line marking.

Consultation was undertaken with owners and occupiers of properties in Lawson Avenue regarding the proposal. A summary of the consultation result is presented in this report for consideration. It is recommended that the proposed detailed design plan be approved.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the detailed design plan (Design Plan No.6198) of the raised threshold at Lawson Avenue, Marrickville be APPROVED.

BACKGROUND
As part of the local roads renewal capital works program, Council has prepared a detailed design plan to improve the streetscape of Lawson Avenue, Marrickville due to the poor condition of the asphalt road and concrete footpath. Additionally, Council has taken the opportunity to improve the pedestrian safety by including a raised threshold in Lawson Avenue as part of the proposed works. As there is a public school to the east of Marrickville Park and an aged care centre to the west, high pedestrian activity is expected along Frazer Street, walking past Lawson Avenue. With the raised threshold, motorists are encouraged to travel at slower speeds while turning into and out of Lawson Avenue, thereby increasing pedestrian safety.

Additionally, the proposed raised threshold has been found to be beneficial for mitigating flooding issues along Lawson Avenue. This is achieved by the threshold redirecting stormwater away from the intersection of Lawson Avenue and Frazer Street towards the Marrickville Playground and into Marrickville Oval.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Funding of $180,000 has been allocated in Council’s 2018/19 capital works program for the project.
OTHER STAFF COMMENTS

Site Location

Lawson Avenue, Marrickville is one of five streets surrounding Marrickville Park. As Marrickville Park hosts Marrickville Croquet Club, Marrickville Lawn Tennis Club and various sporting activity on Marrickville Oval, pedestrian activity along Frazer Street, crossing Lawson Avenue is relatively high.

Lawson Street is approximately 62m long and 6.1m wide, with Frazer Street on the northern end and a cul-de-sac on the southern end. It serves as an access road and on-street parking for 10 residential properties and short-term parking for Marrickville Park visitors.

The detailed design plan (Design Plan 6198) shown in Attachment 1 outlines the proposed works on the whole length of Lawson Avenue, Marrickville. There is no loss in on-street parking in the proposed works and all current vehicular access to adjoining properties will be retained.

Comments Provided by Design Engineer

The proposed works include the following:

- Reconstruction of concrete footpath and new grass verge on the western side of Lawson Avenue,
- Reconstruction of concrete footpath and provide new swale in Marrickville Park (subject to detail design and budget allocation)
- Reconstruction of concrete kerb and/or gutter,
- Construction of new concrete raised threshold,
- Installing associated traffic signs and line marking
- Installing new stormwater pipes and pits,
- Resurfacing asphalt roadway,
- Reconstruction of two (2) kerb ramps,
- Planting of seven (7) new trees.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Community engagement was conducted between 22 January 2019 and 5 February 2018. A letter and a copy of the design plan were sent to the local residents in Lawson Avenue, Marrickville. A total of 10 letters were distributed.

There were three (3) responses supporting the local road improvements at Lawson Avenue, Marrickville. However, the residents raised concerns in regards to the functionality of proposed flood mitigation measures. Therefore, the Design Engineer’s response has been included in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident’s Comments</th>
<th>Design Engineer's Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The resident of Lawson Avenue is supportive of streetscape improvements at Lawson Avenue. The resident also provided comments in regards to flood mitigation measures. 1. We are delighted with the proposed plans 2. Our only concern is whether the flood mitigation works will be sufficient to deal with the serious flooding issues we</td>
<td>The aim of the proposed raised threshold is to divert stormwater away from the intersection of Lawson Avenue and Frazer Street onto the re-levelled concrete footpaths in the park. The proposal also includes re-levelling sections of existing concrete footpaths and grass areas around Marrickville Croquet Club to ensure that the stormwater has a clear overland flow path towards the Playground, through sport practice cage and into</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Item 5

have previously raised about our street. Marrickville Oval, which acts as a detention basin.

It should be noted that the measures can not completely mitigate flooding of the street in a large storm event.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The resident of Lawson Avenue is supportive of streetscape improvements at Lawson Avenue. The resident also provided comments in regards to proposed flood mitigation measures.</th>
<th>The aim of the proposed raised threshold is to divert stormwater away from the intersection of Lawson Avenue and Frazer Street onto the re-levelled concrete footpaths in the park. The proposal also includes re-levelling sections of existing concrete footpaths and grass areas around Marrickville Croquet Club to ensure that the stormwater has a clear overland flow path towards the Playground, through sport practice cage and into Marrickville Oval, which acts as a detention basin. It should be noted that the measures can not completely mitigate flooding of the street in a large storm event.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. I welcome the plans to resurface the road, reconstruct the footpath, and add a grass verge, trees and small garden to the footpath side of the street.</td>
<td>4. Is the proposed measure enough to prevent the street flooding?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I am supportive of the proposed street improvements to Lawson Avenue.</td>
<td>6. The diversion of the water from Lawson Avenue by the threshold does not have a clear overland flow path directly to the oval. In heavy rain, the water travelling down the eastern side of the Croquet Club collects at the stormwater pit located in front of property 18 Lawson Avenue at the park can be more than 200mm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the detailed design plan (Design Plan No. 6198) of the proposed raised threshold be APPROVED to improve traffic conditions at this location, along with mitigating current flooding issues.

### ATTACHMENTS

1. [Lawson Avenue, Marrickville - Design Plan 6198](#)
SUMMARY

An application has been received from PBS Building for the temporary full road closure of Church Street (between Carillon Avenue and Rochester Street), Newtown. The closure is to be undertaken on Saturday, 6th April 2019, with one day contingency on Saturday, 13th April 2019. It is recommended that the proposed temporary full road closure be approved, subject to the conditions outlined in the report.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the proposed temporary full road closure of Church Street (between Carillon Avenue and Rochester Street, Newtown, on Saturday 6th April 2019, with one day contingency on Saturday, 13th April 2019 in order to erect a tower crane using a mobile crane be approved; subject to, although not limited to, the following conditions:

1. A Road Occupancy License be obtained by the applicant from the Transport Management Centre;
2. All affected residents and businesses, including the NSW Police Local Area Commander, Fire & Rescue NSW and the NSW Ambulance Services be notified in writing, by the applicant, of the proposed temporary full road closure at least 7 days in advance of the closure with the applicant making reasonable provision for stakeholders; and
3. The occupation of the road carriageway must not occur until the road has been physically closed.

BACKGROUND

An application has been received from PBS Building for the temporary full road closure of Church Street (between Carillon Avenue and Rochester Street), Newtown, on Saturday, 6th April 2019, with one day contingency on 13th April 2019, in order to erect a tower crane with a mobile crane.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Under Council’s Fees & Charges, the applicant is required to pay the fee for the temporary full road closure. This fee includes advertising the proposal in accordance with the Roads Act 1993.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS

Church Street, between Carillon Avenue and Rochester Street is restricted one-way southbound, with the only entry permitted from westbound traffic on Carillon Avenue. Therefore, the detouring is only required for westbound traffic entering from Carillon Avenue.

Traffic detour is via:

1. Continue westbound on Carillon Avenue into Salisbury Road,
2. Turn left into Australia Street from Salisbury Road (southbound),
3. Turn left on Lennox Street from Australia Street (eastbound); and
4. Turn left on Church Street from Lennox Street (northbound).

Vehicular access for local residents will be maintained during the closure, where possible. Authorised traffic controllers will be on-site during all shifts and are to be positioned at the closure points to ensure the safety of motorists, cyclists and pedestrians.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

The applicant is to notify all affected residents and businesses in writing at least 7 days prior to the commencement of works.

The closure is to be advertised in the local newspaper on the week commencing 4th March, 2019 for a period of 28 days, subject to the applicant meeting the cost of the advertisement.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the proposed temporary full road closure be approved, subject to the conditions outlined in the report.

ATTACHMENTS

1. [Church Street, Newtown TCP]
Item No: LTC0319 Item 7
Subject: Miller Avenue at Liverpool Road and Cavill Avenue at Liverpool Road, Ashfield - Proposed Kerb Extensions (Djarrawunang Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Burwood PAC)
Prepared By: Michael Craven - Senior Project Manager
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
In order to improve pedestrian safety and accessibility at the intersection of Miller Avenue and Liverpool Road, and the intersection of Cavill Avenue and Liverpool Road, it is proposed to install kerb extensions and new pedestrian access ramps.

The proposed works are part of the Western Gateway footway improvements to the Ashfield Town Centre.

The kerb extensions will be constructed within the statutory 10m “No Stopping” distance at the intersections and there will be no loss of legal on-street parking spaces.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the design plan for the proposed kerb extensions and associated signs and line markings in Miller Avenue at Liverpool Road and Cavill Avenue at Liverpool Road, Ashfield (as per design plan, Liverpool Road - Western Gateway Footpath and Crossing Upgrade-attachment 1) be APPROVED.

BACKGROUND
In order to improve pedestrian safety and accessibility at the intersection of Miller Avenue and Liverpool Road and the intersection of Cavill Avenue and Liverpool Road it is proposed to install kerb extensions and new pedestrian access ramps.

Compliant pedestrian kerb ramps are unable to be constructed at the current kerb alignments of both intersections as a result of Telstra pits in the footpath.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Funding is available in the 2018/19 budget as part of the Western Gateway treatment of the Ashfield Town Centre. The proposed kerb extensions are proposed to be constructed as part of this project which also includes new footpath paving, landscaping and tree planting.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
Relevant internal stakeholders have been consulted as part of the design process.

The kerb extensions will be constructed within the statutory 10m “No Stopping” distance at the intersections and there will be no loss of legal on-street parking spaces.

At Miller Avenue an existing narrow median will be removed which is currently in line with the kerb ramps and therefore creates a pedestrian hazard.

New pedestrian warning signs are proposed in approaches to the intersection of Cavill Avenue and Liverpool Road to warn motorists of pedestrian crossing over at Cavill Avenue.
All other signs will remain as existing other than the relocation of the Stop sign currently located in the median in Miller Avenue which is to be removed.

At Cavill Avenue the existing triangular (refuge) island at the intersection of Liverpool Road is currently not in line with the existing pram ramps. It will be removed in order to reduce the pedestrian crossing distance at the intersection and the acute angle of this intersection will be re-aligned with Liverpool Road in order to slow vehicle speeds at the crossing point.

The intersections have been designed with an MRV 8.8m Rigid vehicle, that being equivalent to a waste collection vehicle. Cavill Avenue and Miller Avenue are not bus routes. Refer to the swept path movements - Attachment 2.

The No Right Turn restrictions at both Cavill Avenue and Miller Avenue will remain unchanged. The proposed intersection treatments will further enhance the streetscape environment in the area.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION
A consultation letter and plan was sent to approx. (310) owners and occupiers of surrounding properties as shown on the attached plan, on 1 February 2019.

The consultation period closed on 20 February 2019. No comments were received at the closure of submissions.

The Roads and Maritime Services and the Burwood Police Area Command were consulted at the concept design stage and raised no objections to the proposal.
CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the design plan for the proposed kerb extensions and associated signs and line markings in Miller Avenue at Liverpool Road and Cavill Avenue at Liverpool Road, Ashfield (as per attached design plan Liverpool Road – Western Gateway (Footpath and Crossing Upgrade) be approved to improve pedestrian safety and accessibility at these locations.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Liverpool Road-Western Gateway proposal
2. Liverpool Rd-Ashfield Gateway_Swept paths
Item No: LTC0319 Item 8  
Subject: McGill Street, Lewisham - Proposed Parking Restrictions (Damun Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Inner West PAC)  
Prepared By: Mary Bailey - Parking Planner  
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager  

SUMMARY  
Following representation from residents Council is proposing to implement ‘No Parking’ restrictions in McGill Street, Lewisham in order to improve access to off-street parking and allow for improved thoroughfare in the narrow street.

RECOMMENDATION  
THAT:  
1. The installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions on the western side of McGill Street between existing ‘No Stopping’ restrictions and the boundary of property Nos.12 and 14 McGill Street be approved;  
2. The installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions on the eastern side of McGill Street, immediately north of the driveway of property Nos.7-15 McGill Street to the existing ‘No Stopping’ signpost be approved; and  
3. The removal of ‘No Parking 9am-5pm Mon-Fri’ signage on the eastern side of McGill Street, outside property No.5 McGill Street be approved.

BACKGROUND  
McGill Street, Lewisham is a short connecting street between Hudson Street and Old Canterbury Road. It is 110 metres long and 5.7 metres wide. Most of the properties on both sides of the street have either been redeveloped with multi story residential units or are currently under development.  
Due to the narrowness of the street and the increased volumes of vehicles entering and exiting the new developments, it is not appropriate to have parking on both sides of the street as this creates obstruction for service and emergency vehicles as well as creating constraints on the ingress and egress from driveways associated with the multi-unit development car parking entrances. It is also proposed to remove an existing ‘No Parking 9am-5pm Mon-Fri’ sign as this is now no longer required since the redevelopment of the block at property No.5 McGill Street places a driveway in the space covered by the restriction. The proposal is illustrated in the following Figure 1; Figure 2 and Figure 3.
Figure 1: Existing and proposed parking restrictions for McGill Street
Currently there are a number of issues that are affecting residents including parking on the footpath and issues with access to driveways due to parked vehicles as a result of the narrowness of the street. It should be noted that McGill Street has transitioned from an area where there was single unit residential dwellings to an area of high density dwellings. The number of vehicles accessing the street in order to access the parking in the multi-unit dwellings has increased significantly. Enforcement Officers are active in the area; however, it is foreseen that the installation of the proposed parking restrictions will improve compliance and provide improved access to off street parking for the multi-unit dwellings. An indication of current conditions can be seen in the photos in Figures 4, 5 and 6.
Figure 4: McGill Street – western side outside property Nos.4-10 showing parking on footpath.

Figure 5: Photo showing driveways opposite cars parked on footpath in Figure 2.
Figure 6. Photos of existing parking conditions opposite driveways property No.5 and property Nos.7-15 McGill Street.

It should be noted that there is a Development Application for the properties encompassing Nos.4-12 McGill Street. The proposal will involve a residential complex comprising of 92 dwellings and 101 parking spaces with access onto McGill Street. The location of the proposed development is shown in Figure 7 below.

Additionally, a Development Application has been received for the property at 2 McGill Street (DA201700611) to demolish existing improvements and construct a 6 storey mixed use development comprising 2 levels of basement parking, 2 commercial tenancies on the ground floor with 20 residential dwellings over 5 levels and a communal roof terrace.

Figure 7: Site of proposed development at Nos.4-12 McGill Street.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of the supply and installation of the signage will be funded from Council’s budget for signs and line marking.
PUBLIC CONSULTATION

On 11 December 2018, there were 344 letters sent to affected owners and occupiers outlining the proposal and advising of the details of making submissions. Respondents were advised that submission closed Monday 17 February 2019.

The distribution of the letter is illustrated below in Figure 8.

Figure 8: Area of Distribution of Proposal Letter to residents.

Prior to the consultation a number of letters were received from residents asking Council to address parking issues in McGill Street. All the correspondence has been incorporated into the feedback report.

All correspondence received supported Council introducing parking restrictions to ensure improved access and manoeuvrability. Nine (9) responses received were in favour of implementing parking changes in McGill Street to improve access and thoroughfare. There were no responses objecting to the proposal.

The feedback received is summarised in the Table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Officer Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I'm writing in support of the proposed parking changes on McGill Street. As a resident on this street, the existing lack of parking signage has resulted in frequent illegal parking on pedestrian walkways or too close to residential apartment entries/exits. Furthermore, the narrow street cannot support two way traffic when cars are parked on both sides. This situation has led to multiple</td>
<td>The proposal will improve access and thoroughfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorist confrontations/conflicts when neither motorist is willing to reverse to allow for oncoming opposing traffic. In addition to the proposed changes, please ensure the location of the no parking signage ensures enough space to allow residents to enter and exit apartment entries safely.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving through McGill street is becoming increasingly difficult due the cars being allowed to park on either side of the street. We understand that due to new infrastructure within the suburb has led to an increase of people within the community but we urgently request if the matter regarding the parking along McGill street can be investigated and if possible stopping signs be placed across the road from the above mentioned building.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cars parking on both sides of McGill Street Lewisham are making it very hard to pass through. Is no parking zones on one side of the road an option?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over the past six months or so there has been daily occurrences of cars and vans illegally parking outside No. 2-14 (Even number) McGill street in Lewisham, blocking the road as well as the pavement (in the instances where cars park with two or even four wheels on the pavement) at all times of day. Something must be done with regards to the parking situation on McGill St as it simply is not wide enough to support cars parking on both sides of the street. Goodness knows what will happen if an emergency vehicle is needed to attend the area as they could not get through the small gaps.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is proposed to implement ‘No Parking’ in the section where there are reportedly vehicles currently parking on the footpath outside 2-14 McGill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We would like to have loading zone on from no 4 to number to no 12 on the time 8:am to 6 pm on McGill St Lewisham. At the present we have so much problems with the same car park in front our drive way for days. Would you please put up the loading zone for us.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spoke to affected party and met onsite to discuss. The owner of the property was satisfied with the proposal for ‘No Parking’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern over the current parking on McGill Street and owners/residents having trouble turning out of the garage driveway with congestion of parked vehicles on both sides of the street. They have requested if council could review the current situation and consider installing no parking down one side of McGill Street (Similar to Hudson Street) to allow adequate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regarding difficulty in access and egress at driveway of 5 McGill Street, this will be dealt with separately. A site investigation was undertaken and it was determined that the existing signage is appropriate. Respondents will be advised of the Driveway Line marking option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 8

**traffic flow.**

| The proposal addresses the issue of removing parking on one side of the street opposite the driveway, which will improve maneuverability for vehicles exiting the driveway of 5 McGill. |

| Can you please do something about the stupid parking arrangements in McGill St in Lewisham. People are parking on both sides of the road and are blocking access. This is a safety concern. |

| You need to turn the street into parking only on one side. It is ridiculous that that has been reported before and the council are too lazy to do anything about it. |

| *Please study and improve parking regulation along McGill St Lewisham. Rampant double parking, parking on footpath, blocking driveways, and corner parking.* |

| Respondents have been advised to contact rangers in a timely manner if illegal parking is witnessed. |

| McGill Street is a narrow road that has become busier and obstructed by parking. |

| I’d like to request consideration to: |

| Make McGill Street one-way from Old Canterbury Road towards Hudson Street. (There is already no right turn permitted from Old Canterbury Road into Hudson Street). This will avoid contention in a narrow street with parking. |

| Add parking restrictions for part(s) of McGill Street to avoid tight constrictions which can occur when vehicles are parked on opposite sides of the street but close to each other. |

**CONCLUSION**

Given that McGill Street is a narrow street (5.7m wide) and there is a significant increase in traffic volumes since multi-unit developments have replaced single unit dwellings; and that there is strong support from local residents to restrict parking in McGill Street; the proposal is supported.

**ATTACHMENTS**

Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 9

Subject: Cavey Street at Calvert Street, Marrickville - Proposed 10m 'No Stopping' restrictions (Midjuburi Ward/Summer Hill Electorate/Innerwest PAC)

Prepared By: Mary Bailey - Parking Planner

Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY

Following representations from the community, Council is proposing to signpost ‘No Stopping’ restrictions in Cavey Street at Calvert Street, Marrickville to improve manoeuvrability and sight lines at this intersection.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the installation of 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones on both the western and eastern sides of Cavey Street, south of Calvert Street be approved.

BACKGROUND

Cavey Street is 7.3 metres wide. The narrowness of the street results in a constrained environment, especially for vehicles turning into and out of the street at its intersection with Calvert Street.

Figure 1: Existing and proposed parking restrictions

Council is proposing to implement ‘No Stopping’ zones in Cavey Street at its intersection with Calvert Street to improve manoeuvrability and sight lines at this location.
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
The cost of the signposting will be covered from Council's operating budget for signs and linemarking.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION
On 24 January 2019 letters were distributed to affected residents seeking feedback on the proposed parking restrictions. Residents were advised that the closing date for the submissions on the proposals was the 15 February 2019. The distribution area of the letter is illustrated in Figure 2 below.

![Figure 2: Distribution of proposals to highlighted properties](image)

There was one (1) submission received. That submission was an objection to the proposal on the grounds that there is limited parking available in the area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Feedback</th>
<th>Officer Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘I oppose the creation of the 10m no stopping zone because there is already a big enough issue with parking in the area. The last thing we need is less parking spaces. Surely 3m from the edge of Calvert St is enough.’</td>
<td>Given the narrowness of Cavey Street at its intersection with Calvert Street, it is deemed that 10m ‘No Stopping’ is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION
Given the narrowness of Cavey Street and the reported manoeuvrability and safety issues at the intersection due to vehicles parked in Cavey Street too close to Calvert Street, the proposal to install 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones in Cavey Street (both sides) at its intersection with Calvert Street is supported.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 10

Subject: Fitzroy Lane, Newtown - Proposed parking restrictions (Damun Ward/Newtown Electorate/Inner West PAC)

Prepared By: Mary Bailey - Parking Planner

Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY

Following representations from the community Council has consulted with affected residents regarding implementation of parking restrictions in Fitzroy Lane, Newtown. The proposal for ‘No Parking’ and ‘No Stopping’ restrictions in the laneway between Australia Street and Brooks Lane will improve access to off-street parking and address resident concerns about damage to buildings.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. Fitzroy Lane (southern side) – Alter the existing ‘No Parking 5am-10am Mondays’ to full time ‘No Parking’ restrictions between the existing ‘No Stopping’ zone at Australia Street and the existing ‘No Parking’ zone opposite Brooks Lane; and

2. Fitzroy Lane (northern side) – Install a 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone immediately east of Brooks Lane, be approved.

BACKGROUND

According to Council's Laneway Guidelines (December 2015) Laneways can be used for a variety of purposes which are listed below:

- Access for garbage collection trucks and deliveries where required
- Access to existing off-street parking within properties
- Access for emergency vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists
- Accessible parking for people with disabilities where appropriate
- Parking where space is available and access is maintained.

The Laneway Guidelines state that, “For parking to be allowed in a narrow laneway, the Australian Standards require that parallel parking spaces be at least 2.1 metres wide and NSW Road Rules requires that at least 3 metres must be available between a parked car and the kerb or edge of the laneway to allow moving vehicles to pass safely. Therefore, laneway widths that are less than 5.1 metres are too narrow to allow parking as any parked vehicle would prevent traffic from using the laneway.” Given the demand for parking and the support from the respondents, parking will be maintained on the northern side of the laneway (except on mornings when the rubbish is being collected – hence the proposal maintains a ‘No Parking 5am-10am Mondays’ restriction on the northern side of the laneway, as seen in Figure 1.)

Fitzroy Lane is a service lane that runs east west between Australia Street and Albert Street, Newtown. It is 4.9 meters wide. Parking in the lane was addressed as part of the Newtown/Enmore Parking Study endorsed by Council in March 2017. As a result of that study parking was restricted at the intersections with side lanes off Fitzroy Lane to allow for vehicles turning into and out of the laneways. At that time there was no support from the community for further restrictions. However, more recently there have been representations from the community and this proposal has been developed to address the representations to change the restrictions in the section of the laneway between Australia Street and Brooks Lane.
The existing restrictions in the subject section of Fitzroy Lane are as follows;

- **Northern side** - from the existing ‘No Stopping’ zones at Australia Street to the intersection of Brooks Lane ‘No Parking 5am-10am Mondays’
- **Southern side** - “No Parking 5am-10am Mondays’ from the existing ‘No Stopping’ zone at Australia Street to the existing ‘No Parking’ opposite the intersection with Brooks Lane.

Council canvassed affected residents with an initial proposal which was revised following feedback. The existing restrictions and the revised proposed restrictions are illustrated in **Figure 1** below.

*Figure 1: Revised Proposal for ‘No Parking’ on Southern side of Fitzroy Lane and ‘No Stopping’ northern side*

Current parking conditions in the laneway are illustrated in the following **Figure 1** and **Figure 2**.
Brooks Lane is used by residents of both Australia Street and Denison Street to access off-street parking for their residences. To address concerns that access to off-street parking is being restricted because of parking in the laneway, the implementation of ‘No Stopping’ in Fitzroy Lane at the intersection with Brooks Lane will improve access and visibility at that intersection.
An initial proposal which was circulated to affected residents is shown below for information and reference. See Figure 4. The initial proposal was not supported and a revised proposal has been developed to address respondents concerns.

Figure 4: Initial proposal for ‘No Parking’ on northern side of Fitzroy Lane

The revised proposal (See Figure 1) is designed to address concerns regarding damage to the side of the building at No.209 Australia Street as illustrated in Figure 5 and Figure 6 below.
Also the revised proposal addresses concerns expressed by residents that parking on the southern side of the laneway results in vehicles starting and idling directly outside and under the windows of the residential dwelling at 213 Australia Street. The windows are seen in Figure 7 below. Also please note that there is a substantial hedge growing on the southern side which impinges on the available parking space on the southern side taking up the kerb area in the subject section of the laneway. (See Figure 7). The kerb area on the northern side can be seen above in Figure 2 and is along the side of a building with no obstacles on the kerb.
Figure 7: View of southern side of laneway showing hedge on kerb and windows of residential dwelling.

One of the issues that has been reported by those affected residents is that installation of ‘No parking’ on the southern side as per the revised proposal, would hamper access to the off street parking of the garages at property Nos. 1 and 3 Albermarle Street. It is evident from the photo shown in Figure 8 below that there is sufficient maneuvering room for vehicles to utilise the space at the intersection of Fitzroy Lane and Brooks lane to effectively access/egress from the off-street parking spaces at property Nos. 1 and 3 Albermarle Street (green roller doors).

Figure 8: View from Brooks Lane of subject garages at property Nos 1 and 3 Albermarle Street (green roller doors) showing maneuvering room for access and egress

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of the supply and installation of the signage will be funded from Council’s budget for signs and line marking.
PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Letters hand delivered to affected properties 25 January 2019 with a closing date for submissions of 18 February 2019. There were two responses to the initial proposal seeking to have it revised. A notification letter with the revised proposal was mailed to all affected residents. The distribution map for the letters is shown below in Figure 9.

In total there were responses from seven (7) households. Five (5) of those supported the original proposal and two (2) supported the revised proposal.

Figure 9: Distribution for proposal letters

The responses to the original and revised proposal are summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Summary of Responses to Proposals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Feedback</th>
<th>Officer Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support for Revised Proposal – ‘No Parking’ southern side of laneway</strong></td>
<td>Two (2) residents who have properties directly affected by the proposed restrictions supported having the ‘No Parking’ restrictions on the southern side of Fitzroy Lane due to a number of issues including 1) reducing vehicle fumes in bedroom windows (see Figure 7 for photo of area outside the residential dwelling at No.213 Australia Street); and 2) reducing the potential of damage to building and gutters - partially due to the camber of the laneway surface towards the building at No.209 Australia Street. See Figures 7 and 8 for evidence of damage to this building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A site investigation by Council Officers confirmed that there is a camber on the laneway which falls away into the gutter on the northern side. This camber could be a contributing factor to the evident damage to the brick building wall and the destruction of the downpipe on the side of the building at 209 Australia Street.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support for Original Proposal</strong></td>
<td>One (1) resident of Australia Street, who accesses off-street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The revised proposal although for restrictions on the non-</strong></td>
<td><strong>The presence of the camber is one factor in support of having ‘No Parking’ on the southern side and allowing parking on the northern side of the laneway.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 'No Parking' southern side of laneway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Traffic Committee Meeting</th>
<th>4 March 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item 10</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'No Parking' southern side of laneway</td>
<td>parking via Brooks Lane, expressed a preference for 'No Parking' on the northern side of Fitzroy Lane. But that resident noted “However, should council decide ‘No Parking’ signs be placed on the southern side of Fitzroy Lane”, then a request was made to ensure vehicles were restricted from parking too close to Brookes Lane on the northern side of Fitzroy Lane”. Preferred side does address the request for removal of vehicles in Fitzroy Lane at Brookes Lane by proposing 10m of ‘No Stopping’ at that location (see Figure 1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two (2) residents access off-street parking in Fitzroy Lane opposite its intersection with Brooks Lane. Concerns were expressed about visibility and the speed of vehicles in the laneway while maneuvering into the off-street parking space.</td>
<td>Given the narrowness of the laneway, the proximity to the intersection of Australia Street of the garage entrances, it is not deemed that there is an issue of speeding vehicles as such in the laneway. Council Officers determined during a site investigation that there is ample room for vehicles to manoeuvre to access the subject garage entrances by using the turning space afforded by the intersection with Brooks Lane. (See Figure 8 above) Installing ‘No Parking’ restrictions on the southern side of Fitzroy Lane (as per the revised proposal) will improve visibility for those vehicles accessing the garages in Fitzroy Lane opposite the intersection of Brooks Lane. Also the installation of the proposed 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone in Fitzroy Lane immediately east of Brooks Lane (as illustrated in Figure 1) will provide additional manoeuvring room for vehicles entering/existing the subject garages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One (1) resident whose property has rear lane access via a gate in Fitzroy Lane (to the west of Brooks Lane and outside the subject area) has expressed concern that if there is ‘No Parking’ on the southern side of the laneway that this will result in vehicles travelling at a higher speed in the laneway.</td>
<td>Given the narrowness of the laneway, the proximity to the intersection of Australia Street of the garage entrances, it is not deemed that there is an issue of speeding vehicles as such in the laneway.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
laneway. The resident is concerned that children and dogs especially exiting the property would be affected by the vehicles travelling in the laneway. Also this resident has noted that there was damage to the rear fence of their property caused by vehicles travelling along the laneway.

CONCLUSION

Given that those respondents who are most directly affected support the revised proposal and that the issues raised by those objectors are deemed to be not relevant or addressed by the revised proposal, it is recommended to support the revised proposal.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 11
Subject: Pearl Lane, Newtown - Proposed 'No Parking' (Damun Ward/Newtown Electorate/Inner West PAC)
Prepared By: Mary Bailey - Parking Planner
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
Council has received reports that motorists are having difficulty navigating through the laneway without damaging a fence at the rear of a residence. In order to reduce the risk of damage and improve access and manoeuvrability, Council is proposing to install 'No Parking' in Pearl Lane (both sides) between Holmwood Lane and Dickson Street, Newtown.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions in Pearl Lane (both sides) between existing ‘No Stopping’ zones at Holmwood Lane and Dickson Street be approved.

BACKGROUND
According to Council’s Laneway Guidelines (December 2015) Laneways can be used for a variety of purposes which are listed below:
- Access for garbage collection trucks and deliveries where required
- Access to existing off-street parking within properties
- Access for emergency vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists
- Accessible parking for people with disabilities where appropriate
- Parking where space is available and access is maintained.

Pearl Lane is approximately 4.8 metres wide and runs north-south between Wells Street and Alice Lane, Newtown.

The Laneway Guidelines state that, “For parking to be allowed in a narrow laneway, the Australian Standards require that parallel parking spaces be at least 2.1 metres wide and NSW Road Rules requires that at least 3 metres must be available between a parked car and the kerb or edge of the laneway to allow moving vehicles to pass safely. Therefore, laneway widths that are less than 5.1 metres are too narrow to allow parking as any parked vehicle would prevent traffic from using the laneway.”

In this case, Council has received concerns that access is being restricted because of parking in the laneway. Furthermore, since the laneway is only is 4.8m wide, according to Council’s Laneway Guidelines, Pearl Lane is not wide enough to cater for parking.

Given the need to provide clear vehicular access through the laneway, it is recommended that full-time ‘No Parking’ restrictions be approved. The proposal is illustrated in Figure 1 below.
Figure 1: Existing and proposed restrictions in Pearl Lane

The photo below shows the conditions created by vehicles parking in the subject section of Pearl Lane. See Figure 2.

Figure 2: Vehicles parking in the subject section of Pearl Lane (looking north from Dickson Street to Holmwood Lane)

The damage reported to be caused by vehicles attempting to navigate through the laneway is illustrated in Figure 3 below.
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of the supply and installation of the signage will be funded from Council’s signs and line markings budget.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

On 23 January 2019, forty three (43) letters were sent to owners and occupiers of affected properties. The distribution of the letters is illustrated in Figure 4 below.

The letter advised residents of the proposal and committee meeting details and that submissions closed on Friday 15 February 2019.

In addition to the proponent seeking the ‘No Parking’ restrictions, two (2) submissions were received, one in support and one objecting.
### Resident Response vs Officer Comment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Response</th>
<th>Officer Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong>&lt;br&gt;The submission in support gave the reason that&lt;br&gt;the laneway is too narrow for parking and there&lt;br&gt;is limited visibility and manoeuvrability, especially close to the intersection of Pearl Lane and Dickson Street.</td>
<td>According to Council’s Laneway Guidelines, being less than 5.1m wide, the laneway is not suitable to accommodate parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Object</strong>&lt;br&gt;The objector noted that parking is at a premium in the area and that the lane way parking provides additional opportunities for residents to park and suggested that service vehicles should be restricted from the lane.</td>
<td>The laneways are designed to provide access for service vehicles and allow access to off street parking. Parking is not a primary use for the laneways.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONCLUSION

Given the narrowness of the laneway and the reports of damage to property caused by vehicles accessing the laneway, the proposal to restrict parking in the laneway is supported.

### ATTACHMENTS

Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 12

Subject: Cannon Lane, Stanmore - Proposed 'No Parking' (Damun Ward/Newtown Electorate/Inner West PAC)

Prepared By: Mary Bailey - Parking Planner

Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY

Following representation from residents regarding difficulty gaining access to off-street parking due to vehicles parked in the laneway, Council is proposing to implement ‘No Parking’ restrictions on both sides of Cannon Lane (between Margaret Street and Corunna Road).

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the installation of ‘No Parking’ restrictions in Cannon Lane, Stanmore (both sides) between Margaret Street and Corunna Road, Stanmore be approved.

BACKGROUND

According to Council’s Laneway Guidelines (December 2015) Laneways can be used for a variety of purposes which are listed below:

- Access for garbage collection trucks and deliveries where required
- Access to existing off-street parking within properties
- Access for emergency vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists
- Accessible parking for people with disabilities where appropriate
- Parking where space is available and access is maintained.

Cannon Lane is approximately 4.8 metres wide and runs north-south between Margaret Street and Corunna Road, Stanmore.

The Laneway Guidelines state that, “For parking to be allowed in a narrow laneway, the Australian Standards require that parallel parking spaces be at least 2.1 metres wide and NSW Road Rules requires that at least 3 metres must be available between a parked car and the kerb or edge of the laneway to allow moving vehicles to pass safely. Therefore, laneway widths that are less than 5.1 metres are too narrow to allow parking as any parked vehicle would prevent traffic from using the laneway.”

In this case, Council has received concerns that access is being restricted because of parking in the laneways. Furthermore, since the laneway is only is 4.8m wide, according to Council’s Laneway Guidelines, Cannon Lane is not wide enough to cater for parking.

Given the need to provide clear vehicular access through the laneway to facilitate access to off-street parking, it is recommended that full-time ‘No Parking’ restrictions be approved. The proposal is illustrated in the following Figure.
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of sign posting can be covered from Council’s operational budget for signs and linemarking.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

On 23 January 2019, 17 letters were sent to owners/occupiers of properties affected by the proposed change in parking restrictions. Residents were advised that submissions closed by Friday 15 February 2019.

The proponent seeks to have consideration for access to off street parking noting, 'As a resident with garage access to that section of the lane, access to my garage has been restricted at times by vehicles parked in front of my garage.”

Following consultation, one submission was received.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedback</th>
<th>Officer Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“I disagree / strongly oppose the proposed 'No Parking' restrictions in Cannon Lane.”</td>
<td>The lane is less than 5.1m wide and according to Council’s Laneway Guidelines is not suitable for parking, particularly in the case where there are reports that vehicles are blocking access to off-street parking spaces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

Given the narrowness of the lane and the need for residents to access off-street parking spaces via the lane, the proposal is supported.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil.
Local Traffic Committee Meeting
4 March 2019

Item No: LTC0319 Item 13
Subject: Piper Lane (rear of 319 Annandale Street), Annandale - Proposed 'No Parking' zone (Baludarri Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)

Prepared By: David Yu - Engineer - Traffic and Parking Services
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
Council has received concerns regarding parked vehicles obstructing access to off-street parking in Piper Lane (rear of No. 319 Annandale Street), Annandale.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT a 3.3m ‘No Parking’ zone be installed on the eastern side of Piper Lane between two driveways and opposite rear boundary of No.319 Annandale Street, Annandale.

BACKGROUND
Council received a concern from a resident of Annandale Street, Annandale regarding vehicles parking on the eastern side of Piper Lane, Annandale and subsequently obstructing rear driveway access for property No. 319 Annandale Street.

Piper Lane is approximately 5.0m wide. There is an existing ‘No Parking’ zone on the eastern side of Piper Lane that is located to the north of the proposed restriction (at the rear of No.264 Johnston Street).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Nil.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
It is proposed to signpost the eastern side of Piper Lane opposite No.319 Annandale Street as a ‘No Parking’ zone. The proposal is shown on the following plan.
The proposal is expected to result in a loss of one on-street parking space.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties (37 properties) in Piper Lane, Annandale Street and Johnston Street, Annandale.

Two (2) responses were received, in support of the proposal.

The table below shows the comments raised by the residents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residents’ Comments</th>
<th>Officer Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I write to support the proposal for the 'No Parking' zone on Piper Lane (rear of 319 Annandale Street, Annandale as I often see the owner of property 319 struggling to drive in and out of her garage due to cars blocking her access.</td>
<td>It should be noted that a 3.3m 'No Parking' zone has been recommended for installation on the eastern side of Piper Lane (opposite No.319 Annandale Street), Annandale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I hope that the proposed 'No Parking' sign be erected opposite my garage. I'm 81 years old and have lived in this house for almost 80 yrs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

Nil.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil.
Council has received a request to signpost ‘No Stopping’ restrictions at the intersection of Foucart Street and Easton Street, Rozelle in order to prevent illegal parking and improve sight lines.

**RECOMMENDATION**

**THAT:**

1. A 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone be installed on the northern side of Easton Street, east of Foucart Street, Rozelle;

2. A 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone be installed on the southern side of Easton Street, east of Foucart Street, Rozelle; and

3. A 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone be installed on the eastern side of Foucart Street, south of Easton Street, Rozelle

**BACKGROUND**

Concerns have been raised by residents regarding vehicles parking too close to the intersections of Foucart Street/Easton Street, Rozelle.

This illegal parking behaviour obstructs sight lines as well as access into and out of Foucart Street and Easton Street. It should be noted that there is unrestricted parking on both sides of Foucart Street and Easton Street.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil.

**OTHER STAFF COMMENTS**

In order to alleviate the sight visibility and manoeuvring space issues, it is proposed to signpost the statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones at the following locations:

- Northern side of Easton Street, east of Foucart Street, Rozelle
- Southern side of Easton Street, east of Foucart Street, Rozelle
- Eastern side of Foucart Street, south of Easton Street, Rozelle
The proposal is shown on the following plan:

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties (16 properties) in Foucart Street and Easton Street, Rozelle.

One (1) response was received, in objection of the proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residents’ Comments</th>
<th>Officer Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am opposed to the proposal.</td>
<td>Several concerns were received from residents of the area, regarding sight visibility issues when vehicles parked too close to the intersection within the statutory 10 metre road rule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have never seen an accident at the intersection of Easton/Foucart streets.</td>
<td>Our site investigation identified that vehicles parked close to the intersection impeded sight visibility. Signposting the statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone is required to improve the safety for pedestrians and drivers at the intersection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because it’s a T-intersection cars heading west on Easton street must stop no matter what.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I see no benefit to line-of-sight with No Stopping signs installed as it’s the housing profiles that affect line-of-sight; not vehicles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION
Nil.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
SUMMARY

Council’s Business Information Services section is requesting a permanent loading area in Church Street, Petersham to accommodate staff with the unloading and transportation of hardcopy documents to Council’s Records Repository.

It is recommended that ‘No Parking 8.30am-6pm Mon-Fri Authorised Council Records Vehicles Excepted’ restrictions be installed in Church Street, Petersham to assist Council’s Business Information Services staff with unimpeded access and ability to work within WH&S protocols.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the installation of ‘No Parking 8.30am-6pm Mon-Fri Authorised Council Records Vehicles Excepted’ restrictions (length of 6 metres) on the western side of Church Street, Petersham, in front of the basement entry (large double doors) to the Petersham Town Hall (directly opposite side boundary of property No.8 Frederick Street, Petersham) be approved.

BACKGROUND

Council’s Business Information Services (BIS) team recently acquired the basement of Petersham Town Hall as a records repository. At the point of amalgamation, Inner West Council had ten (10) record repository locations, with the long term plan of downsizing to two (2) locations i.e. St Peters Depot Building B and Petersham Town Hall facility.

With Council’s development of digitising hardcopy records, the BIS team regularly accesses the Petersham Town Hall repository several times a day, relocating and consolidating hardcopy documents and records from Balmain, Leichhardt and Ashfield. Large volumes of boxes are transported from the council vehicle through the basement doors with use of a trolley. With a designated parking space, staff would be able to work safer and within WH&S parameters, and avoid the disintegrating effects of wet weather to documents.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of the signposting will be funded from Council’s operational budget for signs and line marking.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Church Street</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Between Frederick Street and Trafalgar Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriageway Width (m)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification</td>
<td>Two-way road with one travel lane for each direction. There is parking on both sides of the road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Arrangements</td>
<td>Unrestricted parking on both sides of the road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PUBLIC CONSULTATION
Community notification letters will be delivered to the surrounding eleven (11) properties, subject to Local Traffic Committee meeting support and Council endorsement.

CONCLUSION
To ensure a safe and efficient work environment for Council’s Business Information Services team, the installation of a ‘No Parking 8.30am-6pm Mon-Fri Authorised Council Records Vehicles Excepted’ zone (total of 6 metres in length) on the western side of Church Street, Petersham, in front of the basement entry (large double doors) to the Petersham Town Hall (directly opposite side boundary of property No.8 Frederick Street, Petersham) is recommended.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 16
Subject: Henry Street, Ashfield - Proposed Installation of 10m 'No Stopping' zone (Djarrawunang Ward/Strathfield Electorate/Burwood PAC)
Prepared By: Scipio Tam - Engineer - Traffic and parking Services (South)
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
Council has received concerns of vehicles being parked too close to the intersections along Henry Street, Lucy Street, Earle Street and Page Avenue, Ashfield. These are intersections without 'No Stopping' restrictions being signposted.

This proposal is for ‘No Stopping’ zones to be installed at the specified intersection to address vehicle visibility and manoeuvrability issues.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the installation of 10m 'No Stopping' zones at the following locations be approved:
1. On the eastern side of Henry Street, south of Page Avenue and north of Earle Avenue, Ashfield;
2. On the western side of Henry Street, south of Lucy Street, Ashfield; and
3. On the northern side of Earle Avenue, east of Henry Street, Ashfield.

BACKGROUND
Council has been advised that vehicles are being parked too close to some intersections along Henry Street, Lucy Street, Earle Street and Page Avenue, Ashfield.

The installation of the proposed ‘No Stopping’ restrictions in the subject streets is designed to discourage vehicles parking adjacent to corners, thus improving motorists’ visibility and maneuverability at the subject intersections.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
The cost of the signposting will be funded from Council's operational budget for signs and line marking.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
Site inspection
Observation during site inspections undertaken in the morning and afternoon periods indicated that the on-street parking spaces along Henry Street and adjoining streets were highly utilised. At present, unrestricted parking is located predominantly on both sides of Henry Street and only the northern side of Earle Avenue, Page Avenue and Lucy Street as the street widths do not support parking on both sides.
PUBLIC CONSULTATION

On 23rd January 2019, 35 letters were delivered to affected properties in the area. Residents were asked to make submissions by 8th February 2019. At the time of writing, two (2) supporting submissions were received. No objections were received. Any additional feedback received will be tabled at the meeting.

A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties in Henry Street, Lucy Street, Page Avenue and Earle Avenue, Ashfield.

Two responses in support of the proposal were received.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residents’ Comments</th>
<th>Officer Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident is in support of this proposal. Resident also commented on the poor planning done by WestConnex and the state government regarding parking for their</td>
<td>Support is noted. Request has been forwarded to Council’s Parking Planner to investigate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
staff, resulting in Henry Street, Lucy Street, Page Avenue and Earle Avenue being parked out all day and night as WestConnex staff park their personal vehicles during the day and “swap” over their work vehicles at night.

Resident is requesting Council implement a Residential Parking Scheme in the subject streets.

Resident supports this traffic safety initiative  Support is noted

CONCLUSION
The installation of 10m ‘No Stopping’ zones at the intersections along Henry Street will address the concerns raised and will improve visibility when approaching Henry Street, Ashfield from adjacent streets. Therefore, the proposal is supported.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 17
Subject: Edwin Street & Stanley Street, Tempe - Proposed Installation of 10m Statutory ‘No Stopping’ Signage (Midjuburi Ward/Heffron Electorate/Inner West PAC)

Prepared By: Scipio Tam - Engineer - Traffic and parking Services (South)
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY

A request has been received from a local resident for the provision of ‘No Stopping’ restrictions to deter illegal parking on the southern side of Edwin Street and the adjacent corner of Stanley Street, Tempe. The resident has advised that vehicles are regularly parked too close to the intersection, restricting sightlines for motorists turning into Edwin Street from Stanley Street.

It is recommended that Statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ restrictions be installed on the southern side of Edwin Street and the adjacent corners on Stanley Street in order to deter illegal parking, increase safety and improve visibility and access for turning motorists.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

1. Installation of 10m ‘No Stopping’ signage on the southern side of Edwin Street, Tempe, both east and west from its intersection with Stanley Street (in front of No.6 and No.8 Edwin Street, Tempe); and

2. Installation of 10m Statutory ‘No Stopping’ signage on both sides of Stanley Street, Tempe, at the intersection with Edwin Street, (adjacent to side boundary of No.6 and No.8 Edwin Street, Tempe), be approved.

BACKGROUND

Council has received a request from a local resident for the provision of ‘No Stopping’ restrictions to deter illegal parking on the southern side of Edwin Street, Tempe, at its intersection with Stanley Street. The resident has advised that vehicles are parked too close to the intersection, restricting available sightlines and access for turning motorists.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of the signposting will be funded from Council’s operational budget for signs and line marking.
OTHER STAFF COMMENTS

Site location & road network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Edwin Street</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>At Stanley Street, between Union Street and Tramway Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriageway Width (m)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriageway Type</td>
<td>Two-way road with one travel lane in each direction, in addition to kerbside parking lanes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85th Percentile Speed (km/h)</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported Crash History (July 2011 – June 2016)</td>
<td>No crashes recorded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Arrangements</td>
<td>Unrestricted parking on both sides of the road.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site inspection

A Council Officer has observed during site inspections undertaken in the morning and afternoon periods that the on-street parking spaces along Edwin Street were moderately utilised. At present, unrestricted parking is located predominantly on both sides of Edwin Street.

Raised thresholds are located along Edwin Street approximately 23 metres north and 125 metres south of its intersection with Stanley Street. Tempe Public School and Tempe High School are located nearby on Unwins Bridge Road, north of the subject intersection.

The increased traffic during school peak drop off/pick up hours may pose a higher risk of an incident occurring for motorists turning from Stanley Street and the proposed restrictions would assist motorists turning from Stanley Street into Edwin Street.
PUBLIC CONSULTATION

On 23rd January 2019, 51 letters were delivered to affected properties in the area. Residents were asked to make submissions by 8th February 2019. At the time of writing, three (3) submissions were received, one (1) in support and two (2) neutral feedback with alternative suggestions. No objections were received. Any additional feedback received will be tabled at the meeting.

A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties in Edwin Street and Stanley Street, Tempe. Three responses were received.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residents’ Comments</th>
<th>Officer Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I have lived in the neighbourhood for over a year and traverse at this intersection on a daily basis. It is extremely rare for cars to be parked less than 10 metres from the intersection. In fact, car parking is very courteous because of the potential to block the Edwin Street footpath that’s a few metres from the intersection.</td>
<td>Council Officers who have inspected the intersection on several occasions have identified it as a potential problem due to cars parked within 10m of the intersection. The proposed 'No Stopping' restrictions are intended to improve sight distance and manoeuvring at the subject intersection, increasing safety for the community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The only time I’ve seen parking within 10 metres of the intersection is at school drop off/collection time. However, in 5-10 minutes after the school rush, local parking returns to the usual high standards. I suggest a more effective approach would be for Council to remind the parents of Tempe Public School students to park safely and legally in the local community. Furthermore, Council should consider installing ‘No Stopping’ signs in more dangerous intersections such as the intersection of Edwin Street and Gannon Street.

I support Council’s proposal as I believe it will make a great difference to the safety of everyone that uses the intersection.

Over the years there is increased traffic in these streets as a result of demand for parking from the local bus depot, taxi business in Union St and local businesses in the immediate area parking. Moreover, when there are vehicles parked right up to the corner of these streets it may obstruct the vision from seeing into the next street.

Also, the children have to cross the street at this intersection to reach the local school a few metres up the end of Edwin St.

I am one of the owners on Stanley St, the second house from the intersection. The parking spaces in Edwin St and Stanley St are always very scarce possibly as a result of boats and trucks that park at Stanley St for days. Moreover, many local dwellings do not have private car space.

I suggest Council to consider reducing the no parking distance to 5 metres as well as making the streets to 1 hr. parking only and finally, issuing parking permits to local residents.

The proposed ‘No Stopping’ zones will provide improved sight lines for pedestrians and drivers.

There are no current plans to install 1 hour parking in Edwin or Stanley Street, Tempe and previous surveys of residents a few years ago in the area did not support resident parking.

CONCLUSION

The installation and enforcement of the statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ signage at the intersection of Edwin Street and Stanley Street will address concerns expressed by residents regarding sightline visibility and is therefore recommended.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 18  
Subject: Cannon Street, Stanmore - Proposed Installation of 10m Statutory 'No Stopping' Signage (Damun Ward/ Newtown Electorate/ Inner West PAC)  
Prepared By: Scipio Tam - Engineer - Traffic and parking Services (South)  
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
A request has been received from a local resident for the provision of ‘No Stopping’ restrictions to deter illegal parking on the western side of Cannon Street, Stanmore, at its intersection with Corunna Road. The resident has advised that vehicles are regularly parked too close to the intersection, restricting sightlines for motorists giving way to Cannon Street, before continuing along Corunna Road.

It is recommended that statutory ‘No Stopping’ restrictions be installed on all remaining unsignposted corners of Cannon Street and Corunna Road intersection, for a distance of 10 metres in order to deter illegal parking, increase safety and improve visibility and access for motorists.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the installation of 10m ‘No Stopping' at the following locations be approved;

1. South-east corner of Cannon Street and Corunna Road, Stanmore, extending into both streets;
2. Western side of Cannon Street, Stanmore, extending north from its intersection with Corunna Road; and
3. Western side of Cannon Street, Stanmore, extending south from its intersection with Corunna Road.

BACKGROUND
Council has received a request from a local resident for the provision of ‘No Stopping’ restrictions to deter illegal parking on Cannon Street, Stanmore, at its intersection with Corunna Road. The residents has advised that vehicles are parked too close to the intersection, restricting available sightlines and access for motorists.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
The cost of the signposting will be funded from Council’s operational budget for signs and line marking.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
Site location & road network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Cannon Street</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>At Corunna Road between Margaret Street and Westbourne Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriageway Width (m)</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriageway Type</td>
<td>Two-way road with one travel lane in each direction, in addition to kerbside parking lanes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Classification | Local
---|---
Reported Crash History (July 2013 – June 2017) | No crashes recorded.
Parking Arrangements | Unrestricted parking on both sides of the road.

Site inspection

Council Officers have observed during site inspections undertaken in the morning and afternoon periods that the on-street parking spaces along Cannon Street were highly utilised. At present, unrestricted parking is located predominantly on both sides of Cannon Street, with 1P restriction on eastern side of Cannon Street between Parramatta Road and Corunna Road. Several corners of the subject intersection have existing 10m ‘No Stopping’ restrictions signposted (refer to locality map illustrated below).

Technical Issues

In accordance with the Australian Road Rules, a ‘No Stopping’ zone is mandatory for a distance of 10 metres from an intersecting road. Pursuant to the RMS’ Technical Directions, it is stated that signposting at an unsignalised intersection (without pedestrian crossing) “should only be required where there is a compliance problem or there is adjoining signposting”. In this case, it is shown to be a compliance and safety problem for motorists attempting to turn.

Locality Map – Cannon Street, Stanmore

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

On 23 January 2019, 35 letters were delivered to affected properties in the area. Residents were advised to make submissions by 8 February 2019. At the time of consultation, two (2) queries were received and these were subsequently addressed. No feedback was received.
A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties in Cannon Street and Corunna Road, Stanmore.

No feedback was received.

CONCLUSION

The installation of the statutory 10m ‘No Stopping’ signage at the intersection of Cannon Street and Corunna Road will address concerns expressed regarding sightline visibility. Therefore the proposal is supported.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil.
SUMMARY
Council has received concerns regarding blocked vehicular access to the driveway of No.27A and No.29 Susan Street, Annandale. In order to prevent vehicles impeding driveway access whilst making use of the short kerb space, it is proposed to convert the existing 4.0m ‘2P 8am-6pm’ resident parking space into a 4.0m ‘Motor Bike Only’ parking between No.27A and No.29 Susan Street, Annandale.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the existing 4.0m parking space between No.27A and No.29 Susan Street, Annandale restricted as ‘2P 8am-6pm; Mon-Fri Permit Holders Excepted Area A1’ parking be signposted as a 4.0m ‘Motor Bike Only’ parking space.

BACKGROUND
Council has received concerns regarding blocked vehicular access to the driveway of No.27A and No.29 Susan Street, Annandale due to vehicles over-hanging the driveway.

The existing kerb space between No.27A and No.29 Susan Street is insufficient to accommodate a standard size vehicle without impeding driveway access to No.27A or No.29 Susan Street.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Nil.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
In order to prevent vehicles impeding driveway access whilst making use of this redundant space, it is proposed to install a 4.0m ‘Motor Bike Only’ parking zone as detailed on the following plan.
PUBLIC CONSULTATION

A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the affected properties (10 properties) in Susan Street, Annandale.

Three responses were received, two in support and one in objection of the proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residents’ Comments</th>
<th>Officer Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We support Council’s proposal as the space between 27a (my residence) and 29 Susan Street, Annandale is inadequate for a car to fit in without encroaching over both driveways.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not object to Council’s proposal.</td>
<td>Council will investigate this matter separately. RPS was investigated back in March 2018 and it did not meet Council’s requirements. The resident will be advised that further RPS investigation can be initiated with three requests from residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Could Council please consider installing a Resident Parking Scheme on the opposite side of Susan Street as the loss of the existing parking spot may result in further parking congestion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe that the proposed area under review is suitable for a small car as it will be able to fit without impeding either of the driveways at 27A and 29 Susan Street. Council should consider installing a ‘small car only’ sign to regulate the space and</td>
<td>There is no regulatory sign that allows parking for small cars. Therefore, it is recommended that the space should be converted to motorbike parking only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
utilize line markings to indicate whether the size of vehicles can comply with the space requirements. Furthermore, while I understand that larger cars do park in that space and overhang the line markings for the driveway at 27A Susan Street, this is due to a problematic minority of individual motorists that do not comply with regulations.

CONCLUSION
Nil.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
SUMMARY
This report considers minor traffic facility applications received by Inner West Council, including ‘Disabled Parking’ and ‘Works Zone’ requests.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT:

1. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be removed in front of No.60 Catherine Street, Leichhardt;

2. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be removed in front of No.5 Numa Street, Birchgrove;

3. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.32 Charlecot Street, Dulwich Hill;

4. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of properties No.29 and No.31 Spencer Street, Summer Hill;

5. A 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.47 Kays Avenue, Marrickville;

6. A 5.5m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.203 Sydenham Road, Marrickville;

7. A 16m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri; 8.00am -1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of Nos.17-19 Wetherill Street, Croydon for 12 weeks;

8. A 11.5m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri; 8.00am -1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.33 Smith Street, Summer Hill for 5 weeks;

9. A 10m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri; 8.00am - 1.00pm Sat’ be installed in front of No.44 Liverpool Road (Gower Street frontage) and No.35 Gower Street, Summer Hill for 12 weeks, subject to the applicant receiving the written concurrence from the owners of No.35 Gower Street; and

10. A 65m ‘Works Zone 7.00am – 5.30pm Mon - Sat’ be installed in front of Nos.13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville for 12 weeks.

BACKGROUND
This report considers minor traffic facility applications by Inner West Council, and includes ‘Disabled Parking’ and ‘Works Zone’ requests.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Nil.
OTHER STAFF COMMENTS

1. Removal of ‘Disabled Parking’ restriction – Catherine Street, Leichhardt

A family member of the applicant of the ‘Disabled Parking’ zone in front of No.60 Catherine Street, Leichhardt has notified Council that the applicant has passed away and thus the ‘Disabled Parking’ zone is no longer required.

It is recommended that the 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone in front of No.60 Catherine Street, Leichhardt be removed.

2. Removal of ‘Disabled Parking’ restriction - Numa Street, Birchgrove

The applicant of the ‘Disabled Parking’ zone in front of No.5 Numa Street, Birchgrove has notified Council that the ‘Disabled Parking’ zone is no longer required.

It is recommended that the 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ space in front of No.5 Numa Street, Birchgrove be removed.

3. Installation of a ‘Disabled Parking’ restriction – Charlecot Street, Dulwich Hill

The resident of No.32 Charlecot Street, Dulwich Hill has requested the installation of ‘Disabled Parking Zone’ in front of the resident’s property. A site investigation has revealed the property does not have off street parking and the applicant does not require the use of wheelchair.

It is recommended that a 6m ‘Disabled Parking Zone’ be installed in front of 32 Charlecot Street, Dulwich Hill.
4. Installation of a ‘Disabled Parking’ restriction – Spencer Street, Summer Hill

The resident of No.29 Spencer Street, Summer Hill has requested the installation of a ‘Disabled Parking’ zone in front of the resident’s property. A site investigation has revealed the property has off-street parking at the rear of the property. However, the applicant is unable to access the off-street parking due to a medical condition. Moreover, the property frontage is less than 6m and so there will be approximately 2.4m of overhang across the frontage of No.31 Spencer Street.

A consultation letter outlining this proposal was sent to the resident of No.31 Spencer Street and no response was received. The applicant does not require the use of a wheelchair.

It is recommended that a 6m ‘Disabled Parking’ zone be installed in front of No.29 Spencer Street, Summer Hill.

5. Installation of a ‘Disabled Parking’ restriction – Kays Avenue, Marrickville

The resident of No.47 Kays Avenue, Marrickville has requested the installation of ‘Disabled Parking Zone’ in front of the resident’s property. A site investigation has revealed the property does not have off-street parking. The applicant does not require the use of wheelchair.

It is recommended that a parking 6m ‘Disabled Parking Zone’ be installed in front of No.47 Kays Avenue, Marrickville.
6. Installation of a ‘Disabled Parking’ restriction – Sydenham Road, Marrickville

The resident of No.203 Sydenham Road, Marrickville has requested the installation of a 'Disabled Parking Zone' in front of the resident’s property. A site investigation has revealed the property does not have off street parking. The applicant does not require the use of wheelchair.

It is recommended that a 5.5m 'Disabled Parking Zone’ be installed in front of No.203 Sydenham Road, Marrickville.
7. Installation of a ‘Works Zone’ restriction – Wetherill Street, Croydon

The applicant has requested the installation of a 16m temporary 'Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri; 8.00am-1.00pm Sat' outside Nos.17-19 Wetherill Street, Croydon.

It is recommended that a 16m 'Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri; 8.00am -1.00pm Sat' be installed in front of Nos.17-19 Wetherill Street, Croydon for 12 weeks.
8. **Installation of ‘Works Zone’ restriction – Smith Street, Summer Hill**

The applicant has requested the installation of an 11.5m temporary 'Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri; 8.00am-1.00pm Sat' outside No.33 Smith Street, Summer Hill for 5 weeks.

It is recommended that an 11.5m 'Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri; 8.00am -1.00pm Sat' be installed in front of No.33 Smith Street, Summer Hill for 5 weeks.

9. **Installation of ‘Works Zone’ restriction – Gower Street, Summer Hill**

The applicant (No.44 Liverpool Road) has requested the installation of a temporary 10m 'Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am-1.00pm Sat' at its property boundary on Gower Street and No.35 Gower Street, Summer Hill.

It is recommended that a 10m 'Works Zone 7.00am – 6.00pm Mon-Fri and 8.00am -1.00pm Sat' be installed in front of No.44 Liverpool Road (Gower Street frontage) and No.35 Gower Street Summer Hill for 12 weeks. This is subject to the applicant receiving the written concurrence from the owners of No.35 Gower Street, Summer Hill.
No.44 Liverpool Road (Gower Street frontage) and No.35 Gower Street, Summer Hill

10. Installation of a ‘Works Zone’ restriction – Nos.13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville

The applicant has requested the installation of a temporary 65m 'Works Zone 7.00am – 5.30pm Mon - Sat' in front of Nos.13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville.

It is recommended that a 65m 'Works Zone 7.00am – 5.30pm Mon - Sat' be installed in front of Nos.13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville for 12 weeks.

Nos.13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Nil.
CONCLUSION
Nil.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
SUMMARY
Following representation from business owners on Australia Street, Council is proposing to implement timed parking restrictions along Australia Street, Camperdown; on the eastern side, from the Parramatta Road intersection to the frontage of No.8 Australia Street and on the western side, adjacent to No.1 Gantry Lane.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the following signage zones be approved:

1. Installation of a 10m ‘No Stopping’ zone on the eastern side of Australia Street from Parramatta Road to replace the existing ‘No Parking’ restriction at the intersection;

2. Installation of 14m ‘1/4P 8:30am-6pm’ zone on the eastern side of Australia Street, Camperdown immediately south of the proposed ‘No Stopping’ zone (i.e. 2 spaces between No.137 Parramatta Road and No.4 Australia Street);

3. Installation of 23m ‘2P 8:30am-6pm’ zone on the eastern side of Australia Street, Camperdown immediately south of the driveway of No.4 Australia Street (i.e. 3 spaces between No.4 Australia Street and No.8 Australia Street); and

4. Installation of a ‘2P 8:30am-6pm’ zone on the western side of Australia Street, Camperdown 10m south of Parramatta Road and adjacent to No.1 Gantry Lane.

BACKGROUND
Council has received correspondence from the business owner at No.8 Australia Street, Camperdown requesting that the existing spaces of unrestricted parking on Australia Street, to the side boundary of No.137 Parramatta Road and in front of 2-8 Australia Street, Camperdown be altered to short term parking. The proposed timed parking restrictions are considered adequate for the parking needs of the surrounding businesses and visitors to the area.

The parking restrictions were chosen to increase the availability of parking spaces for people visiting the shops and businesses located on Australia Street, Camperdown during business operating hours.

At present, parking is unrestricted on both sides of Australia Street, Camperdown (in the vicinity of Parramatta Road).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
The cost of installing the parking signs will be met from Council's operating budget for signs and linemarking.
OTHER STAFF COMMENTS

From on-site analysis and investigation conducted by Council Officers, the proposal for ‘1/4P 8.30am-6pm’ for approximately two (2) spaces and 2P 8.30am-6pm for approximately three (3) spaces along the Australia Street boundaries for No.137 Parramatta Road and Nos.2-8 Australia Street would provide short term parking opportunities to support the local businesses. Currently parking along this section of Australia Street, Camperdown has unrestricted parking on both sides which provides on-street parking opportunities for:

- Residents; houses and new apartment blocks
- Businesses
- Commuters; due to the proximity to Sydney CBD and bus stops
- Camperdown Park visitors

Australia Street has currently a mixture of 2P residential parking adjacent to the houses between Parramatta Road and Salisbury Road; ¼P parking for the long day care centre and unrestricted parking adjacent to Camperdown Park and newly built residential units closer to Parramatta Road.

The proposal is aimed at providing additional parking opportunities for the businesses along Parramatta Road and Australia Street in close proximity to their properties.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

There were 238 letters sent out on 23rd January 2019 to business owners and property owners/occupiers within the vicinity of the proposal inviting comment. The community survey closed on 8th February 2019.

Council received one (1) submission supporting Council’s proposal. Additionally, the respondent strongly requested that the ‘2P 8.30am-6pm’ restrictions should be extended further down the eastern side of Australia Street to accommodate parking for local businesses and to deter commuter parking in the area, to ensure ample parking opportunities for residents and business occupiers in the area.

It is considered that the addition of the 1/4P and 2P parking zones closer to Parramatta Road will address the need for parking turnover for the businesses, whilst balancing parking needs for other users of the area. It should be noted that short term parking opportunities already exist along Parramatta Road in close proximity to Australia Street.

A letter outlining the above proposal was mailed out to the nearby properties on Parramatta Road, Australia Street and Gantry Lane, Camperdown (Total of 238 letters mailed out).

One (1) response was received in support of the proposal.
CONCLUSION

It is recommended that the existing unrestricted parking spaces along Australia Street, between No.137 Parramatta Road and No.8 Australia Street, on the eastern side and adjacent to 1 Gantry Lane on the western side to be changed to time restricted parking as per the plan shown above.

The restrictions are considered adequate for the parking needs of the surrounding businesses. Additionally, it is recommended that a 10m 'No Stopping' restriction be installed on the north-eastern corner of Australia Street, at its intersection with Parramatta Road, Camperdown, replacing the existing 'No Parking' restriction in order to comply with NSW Road Rules.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil.
SUMMARY
Council has received concerns from Birchgrove Public School P&C regarding pedestrian safety near the existing raised pedestrian crossing in Birchgrove Road at the intersection of Macquarie Terrace, Birchgrove.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the design plan for pedestrian safety improvement works, which includes signposting and linemarking in Birchgrove Road at the intersection of Macquarie Terrace, Birchgrove (as per the attached plan) be approved.

BACKGROUND
Concerns have been raised by the school's P&C President and residents regarding pedestrian safety at and near the raised pedestrian crossing located in Birchgrove Road at the intersection of Macquarie Terrace, Birchgrove.

Traffic tube counts were undertaken on November 2018 in Birchgrove Road. The 85th percentile speed results are summarised below:

- between Macquarie Terrace and Cardwell Street – 26.7km/h (westbound) and 26.4km/h (eastbound).
- between Macquarie Terrace and Water Street – 39.5km/h (westbound) and 38.7km/h (eastbound).

These speed results are considered satisfactory and are under the 40km/h speed limit for the Balmain peninsular.

There are existing speed cushions located along Birchgrove Road and the school is supported with School Crossing Supervisors during school hours at the raised pedestrian (zebra) crossing.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Nil.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
A site investigation has revealed that there were missing signs and linemarking. Therefore, it is proposed that the following improvement works be undertaken:

- Installation of double white (barrier) linemarking (BB line type) with raised reflective pavement markers (RRPMs);
- Installation of supplementary Give Way signage and associated linemarking (TB line type);
- Installation of ‘LOOK’ stencils;
• Installation of advanced warning Pedestrian Crossing left signpost (W6-2-1);
• Installation of flexible bollards; and
• Installation of edge linemarking.

The design plan for the proposed works is shown in the attachment.

All current vehicular access to adjoining properties will be retained.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION
Nil.

CONCLUSION
Nil.

ATTACHMENTS
1. Birchgrove Road at Macquarie Terrace, Birchgrove - Improvement Works Plan
LINE-MARKING INSTALLATION PLAN – BIRCHGROVE ROAD AT MACQUARIE TERRACE INTERSECTION, BIRCHGROVE

INSTALL 'LOOK' STENCILS ON EXISTING FOOTPATH

INSTALL 19m OF BB LINE MARKING WITH RRP Ms (3-5m YY) AS SHOWN.

INSTALL 'LOOK' STENCILS ON EXISTING FOOTPATH

INSTALL EDGE LINE MARKING AS SHOWN.

INSTALL 23m OF BB LINE MARKING WITH RRP Ms (3-5m YY) AS SHOWN.

INSTALL FLEXIBLE BOLLARD ON THE ROAD PAVEMENT AS SHOWN.

INSTALL "PEDESTRIAN CROSSING LEFT" SIGN (W6-2-1) ON NEW SIGN POST AS SHOWN.

INSTALL GIVE-WAY SIGN (R1-2) AS SHOWN.

INSTALL GIVE-WAY LINE MARKING (TB LINE TYPE) AS SHOWN.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 23
Subject: Flood Street, Leichhardt - Road Occupancy (Gulgadya Ward/Balmain Electorate/Leichhardt PAC)
Prepared By: Vinoth Srinivasan - Engineer - Traffic and Parking Services
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
This report considers a request received from BreastScreen NSW for the temporary placement of their mobile lab unit on the western side of Flood Street adjacent to Leichhardt Market Place within the existing ‘Taxi Zone’, for the duration of eight (8) weeks starting from Friday, 21st June to Friday, 16th August 2019.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the road occupancy for the BreastScreen NSW mobile lab unit on the western side of Flood Street, adjacent to Leichhardt Market Place within the existing ‘Taxi Zone’, for the duration of eight (8) weeks starting from Friday, 21st June to Friday, 16th August 2019 be supported, subject to the following conditions:

1. That all affected businesses, residents and other occupants must be notified of the road occupancy and activities at least one week prior to the commencement of the event. Any concerns or requirements raised by business proprietors, residents and other occupants must be resolved or accommodated by the applicant;

2. That the applicant contact Energy Australia/Ausgrid in relation to power access to the mobile laboratory;

3. That the areas to be used for the activities must be maintained in a clean and tidy condition to the satisfaction of Council’s Group Manager Roads, Traffic and Stormwater, or else the applicant will be required to reimburse Council for any extraordinary cleansing costs;

4. That the Council and RMS must be indemnified against all claims for damage or injury that may result from either the activities or from the occupation of part of the public way during the activities. The applicant must therefore produce evidence of its public risk insurance cover (under which Council is indemnified) with a minimum policy value of at least $10,000,000;

5. That a copy of the Council approval letter must be made available on the site for inspection by relevant officers;

6. That the applicant must comply with any reasonable directive from Council’s Compliance Officers; and

7. That Council reserves the right to cancel this approval at any time.
BACKGROUND
BreastScreen NSW has requested approval to locate a mobile lab unit on the western side of Flood Street, adjacent to Leichhardt Market Place within the existing ‘Taxi Zone’ for the duration of eight (8) weeks from Friday, 21st June to Friday, 16th August 2019. The mobile lab unit will operate for screening from 8.45am to 4.00pm Monday to Friday. This location has been used in previous years without any issues and would not affect any businesses, see proposed location below:

Note that the applicant has been requested to provide a copy of their public risk insurance.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
Nil.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS
Nil.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION
Nil.

CONCLUSION
That the road occupancy for a BreastScreen NSW mobile lab unit on the western side of Flood Street, adjacent to Leichhardt Market Place be supported subject to the conditions listed in the officer’s recommendation.

ATTACHMENTS
Nil.
Item No: LTC0319 Item 24
Subject: 2A Gladstone Street, Newtown - DA201700589 - For The Temporary Use Of The Site For A Multi-Use Creative Hub - Revised Traffic & Parking Impact Assessment (Damun Ward/Newtown Electorate/Inner West PAC)

Prepared By: Scipio Tam - Engineer - Traffic and parking Services (South)
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY

Additional information has been received for a temporary application to use the existing buildings to create a multi-use creative hub containing creative work spaces (business and offices premise), market stalls, wholesale bakery and food truck with 24 portable/relocatable marquees for stall holders, 8 shipping containers four on the ground floor and 4 above, fold out stage to operate 7.00am to 7.00pm Mondays to Fridays, 6.00am to 6.00pm for the creative workspaces, 6.00am to 6.00pm Mondays to Sundays for the wholesale bakery, Saturdays 7.00am to 4.00pm and 6.00pm to 10.00pm for the market and 7.00am to 10.00pm for functions or creative performances

The application is required to be referred to the Pedestrian, Cyclist & Traffic Calming Advisory Committee for consideration under State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the findings of this report be received and noted.

BACKGROUND

Additional information has been received for a temporary application to use the existing buildings to create a multi-use creative hub containing creative work spaces (business and offices premise), market stalls, wholesale bakery and food truck with 24 portable/relocatable marquees for stall holders, 8 shipping containers four on the ground floor and 4 above, fold out stage to operate 7.00am to 7.00pm Mondays to Fridays, 6.00am to 6.00pm for the creative workspaces, 6.00am to 6.00pm Mondays to Sundays for the wholesale bakery, Saturdays 7.00am to 4.00pm and 6.00pm to 10.00pm for the market and 7.00am to 10.00pm for functions or creative performances

It is noted that previously the site has been occupied by an open yard area used for a metal recycling facility. Determination No. 14352, dated 4 June 1992 approved an application for the use of the property for the collection, dismantling, storage and wholesale of scrap metals, subject to conditions. Determination No. 201200505, dated 13 March 2013, approved an application under Section 96 to modify Determination No. 14352 dated 4 June 1992 to construct an awning, amend condition 4 relating to machinery to include an excavator and amend condition 9 to permit the storage of batteries.

Currently DA No. 201600060 for 2 and 2A Gladstone Street, Newtown was approved on 23 January 2017 to demolish existing improvements and construct a 4 storey mixed use development with creative commercial uses on the ground floor, first and second floors 18 dwellings (including 3 live work dwellings) on the upper floors with basement car parking and strata subdivision.
The applicant had submitted a revised Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment report on 1 June 2018, following the first Local Traffic Committee report submitted for this Development Application and brought forward to the meeting on 10 April, 2018.

The previous Local Traffic Committee report on 10 April 2018 had documented that the proposed development was not supported in its unrevised form because of the following issues:

- GFA uses/areas need to be identified more precisely. It is difficult to adequately determine parking provision and/or traffic generation rates for the proposed development. There is no mention of a ‘Residential’ component yet it is cited in the applicant’s SEE report as a ‘use’.
- The applicant’s Traffic report uses different criteria for parking and traffic generation analysis; ideally these should be consistently based.
- The proposed development does not comply with Council’s parking requirements. The proposition that ‘no on-site parking provision (or alternative off-street parking arrangement) is required’ is not acceptable. The ‘25-30 employed people/staff’ need parking spaces as will a fair portion of the ‘362 people operating and attending the markets’ will require parking.

The revised Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment report, submitted on 1 June, 2018 has the following changes:

- The removal of proposed stage with seating; and
- The inclusion of operating hours; and
- The change of market operating hours; and
- The addition of four (4) parking spaces and one (1) accessible space OUTSIDE of market operating hours; and
- The removal two (2) showering facilities for cyclists; and
- The inclusion of the proposed layout for Function/Community Centre (Artist studio) and Restaurant/ Takeaway Premises (wholesale bakery); and
- Parking Compliance Check of Off-street car parking spaces and accessible parking spaces.

The previous Local Traffic Committee report of 10 April, 2018 which documented background information of the Development Application and the shortcomings of the unrevised Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment report has been attached.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil.

OTHER STAFF COMMENTS

With regards to vehicular facilities the applicant’s Traffic report states that one (1) motorcycle parking space and thirteen (13) bicycle spaces with end of trip facilities consisting of six (6) x lockers are to be provided on-site (initial proposal which included two (2) x showers have been removed from revised report). Four (4) car parking spaces and one (1) accessible car parking space will be provide on-site outside of the market operating hours. The report states that the vehicular access and loading area is capable of accommodating SRV-type vehicles for deliveries and providing access for food trucks.
Thus, the proposal is to retain the existing building and install a multi-use development area in the open courtyard area. This would include 24 market stalls, 1 pop-up food-truck kiosk, 8 artist studios, and a seating area. The site area is stated as being 1,445m2 with 1,084m2 for market use and it’s estimated that the site will accommodate 25-30 staff at peak times and a maximum of 362 patrons. Four (4) on-site vehicle parking spaces and one (1) accessible parking space are conditionally provided as part of this proposal with one (1) motor cycle parking and thirteen (13) bicycle spaces permanently provided.

Parking Provision

The site is located in Parking Area 1 under Part 2.10 of MDCP 2011. The parking demand generated by the proposal is shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Land Use</th>
<th>Parking rate</th>
<th>Parking required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>market (24 stalls)</td>
<td>Minimum 2 spaces or desirable rate of 2.5 spaces per stall (excluding stallholders) based on RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Development.</td>
<td>48 or a desirable rate of 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bakery (167 m²)</td>
<td>1/300m² GFA</td>
<td>0.5 or 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pop-up food truck/kiosk (restaurant &amp; takeaway) (65m²)</td>
<td>1/100 m² GFA</td>
<td>0.65 or 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creative industry (business and office) (132 m²)</td>
<td>1/100 m² GFA</td>
<td>1.32 or 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed 'market' land use would be the greater parking generator. The proposal includes the provision of four car spaces on the site. However, when the site is in market mode the parking spaces would be removed to make way for temporary marquees, representing a shortfall of 63 car spaces.

The application is accompanied by a Traffic and Parking Assessment (TPA) Revision 3, dated 1 June 2018, prepared by Barker Ryan Stewart. The TPA significantly underestimates the parking demand associated with the market as it adopts the lower ‘community centre’ parking rate of 1 per 80m² GFA. The Applicant’s TPA justifies the parking shortfall on the basis the site is proximate to public transport, the intermittent use of the market activities (i.e. once a week) and existing site constraints.

However, the TPA does not include a parking demand study to show whether or not there is spare parking capacity in the surrounding streets. In any event, the availability of on-street parking is at a premium and residents are currently competing with business and commuter parking despite the resident parking scheme. The TPA also fails to consider the parking demand generated by stallholder vehicles/trucks and the intensive activities associated with the artist studios, including exhibitions, classes and tours that are likely to attract high numbers of people to the site.

Traffic Generation

The proposed market will add approximately 50 vehicle trips per hour to the local street network based on RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Development. Given the narrow configuration of the local street network and the paucity of on-street parking in the area, the proposal is likely to result potential hazard for pedestrians in the vicinity of the site.
PUBLIC CONSULTATION
Consultation / notification regarding the proposal would normally be undertaken by Council's Development and Planning Services as part of the development application process.

CONCLUSION
The proposal does not comply with the relevant requirements of Marrickville Local Environmental Plan 2011 (MLEP 2011) and Marrickville Development Control Plan 2011 (MDCP 2011).

The proposal represents a significant intensification to the use of the site, which will result in adverse parking, traffic and noise impacts to the surrounding residential area.

ATTACHMENTS
1. Previous LTC report regarding DA201700589 - 10 April 2018
2. Revised version of Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment Report
Item No: LTC0418 Item 22
Subject: 2A Gladstone Street, Newtown - DA201700589 – For The Temporary Use of The Site For A Multi-use Creative Hub
Prepared By: Jennifer Adams - Engineer – Traffic and Parking Services
Authorised By: John Stephens - Traffic and Transport Services Manager

SUMMARY
An application (DA201700589) has been received to use the existing buildings on site at 2A Gladstone Street, Newtown to create a “Proposed multi-use area which would include creative work spaces, market stalls, wholesale bakery and food truck.” The application type is for “Temporary building/structures.”

It is recommended that the comments of the Pedestrian, Cyclist and Traffic Calming Advisory Committee be referred to Council’s Development Assessment Section for consideration in determining the Development Application.

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the findings of this report be received and noted.

BACKGROUND
An application has been received to use the existing buildings to create a multi-use creative hub containing creative work spaces (business and offices premise), market stalls, wholesale bakery and food truck with 24 portable/ re-locatable marquees for stall holders, 8 shipping containers four on the ground floor and 4 above, fold out stage to operate 7.00am to 7.00pm Mondays to Fridays, 6.00am to 6.00pm for the creative workspaces, 6.00am to 6.00pm Mondays to Sundays for the wholesale bakery, Saturdays 7.00am to 4.00pm and 6.00pm to 10.00pm for the market and 7.00am to 10.00pm for functions or creative performances.

The application is required to be referred to the Pedestrian, Cyclist & Traffic Calming Advisory Committee for consideration under State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007.

It is noted that previously the site has been occupied by an open yard area used as a metal recycling operation. Determination No. 14352, dated 4 June 1992, approved an application for the use of the property for the collection, dismantling, storage and wholesale of scrap metals, subject to conditions. Determination No. 201200505, dated 13 March 2013, approved an application under Section 96 to modify Determination No. 14352 dated 4 June 1992 to construct an awning, amend condition 4 relating to machinery to include an excavator and amend condition 9 to permit the storage of batteries.

At present DA No. 201600060 for 2 and 2A Gladstone Street, Newtown was approved on 23 January 2017 to demolish existing improvements and construct a 4 storey mixed use development with creative commercial uses on the ground floor, first and second floors 18 dwellings (including 3 live work dwellings) on the upper floors with basement car parking and strata subdivision.
DISCUSSION

Site Location

The subject site is located in the suburb of Newtown and situated on the southern side of Gladstone Street at the intersection with Wilford Street, Newtown and has a secondary frontage to Wilford Street as illustrated in the location and site maps below.

The site is irregular in shape and has a site area is area of 996m². The site currently comprises a hardstand area and associated metal sheds/awnings surrounded by a 4m high masonry wall. The site is fully developed and does not contain any trees or natural features. The topography is generally level with a hardstand area extending across the site.

The locality is characterised by a mix of residential densities, small businesses and remnant industrial Buildings. The Enmore Road retail strip is approximately 75m from the site. The site has been used for metal recycling operations since 1917.
Locality and Road Network

The site is bound by Gladstone Street to the north, Gladstone Lane to the west and Wilford Street to the south. These are local roads with default speed limits of 50km/h. Both Wilford Street and Gladstone Lane are single-lane roads with on-street parking on one side. Wilford Street has carriageway width of approximately 6.5 metres and has unrestricted kerbside parking on the southern side only. Gladstone Street is a two-lane, two-way road and has a carriageway width of approximately 10 metres and it has with a mix of time-restricted and unrestricted kerbside parking.

Gladstone Street connects Station Street to the east and Liberty Street to the west. It carries around 1,600 vehicles per day and 85th percentile speed is 45.4km/h between Phillip Street and Wilford Street. Phillip Street and Station Street are local roads providing primary connection between the local residential access movements and Enmore Road. Trafalgar Street and Wilford Street predominantly serve local residential access movements.

Traffic conditions in the vicinity are generally moderate with predominant traffic movements being those of residents and commuters. The King Street / Enmore Road signalised intersection experiences traffic delays during the peak morning and afternoon periods. Parking in the locality is at a premium and the M3 permit parking area was expanded in 2015 to protect resident parking in the immediate area.

Public Transport

The area is located close to Enmore Road, which has several bus routes along it and approximately 450 metres from Newtown railway station.

The proposal

According to the applicant’s SEE report the Development consent is being sought for a multi-use development of creative work spaces, market stalls, wholesale bakery and food truck, referred to as the Sydney Artist Workshop / Gladstone Street Creative Hub.
The proposed adaptive reuse of the former scrap metal yard site includes the following improvements / facilities:

- 24 portable / relocatable marquees for stall holders;
- Stack of 8 shipping containers (4 on ground level with 4 stacked above) with metal stairs and access walkway;
- Fold out shipping container stage;
- 5 portable toilets, including 1 disabled toilet (2 existing plumbed toilets will be retained for use);
- 2 portable showers and lockers;
- Timber screening of the toilet area;
- Dedicated area for 1 x food truck;
- 13 bicycle spaces;
- 1 motorbike space;
- 1 x shipping container for bakery preparation and retention of 1 existing shipping container for bakery storage; and
- Raised platform for bread oven and pizza oven.

A waste storage area is proposed in the north-western corner of the site.
The Creative Work Space and Markets features include:

- Short-term studio spaces
- Affordable short-term artist residential spaces for visiting artists Market Yard for weekend artisan and produce markets
- Café / Bakery
- Dedicated workshop and teaching facilities Performance rehearsal studios Performance space
- Art gallery turning over 12-24 exhibitions per year
- Tool and equipment library for members and studio residents.

Hours of Operation are listed to be:

- The creative work spaces would be open from Monday to Friday (7am to 7pm).
- The wholesale bakery will operate Monday to Sunday from (6am to 6pm).
- The market will operate on Saturdays from (7am to 4pm) and then a night market from (6pm to 10pm).
- The facility would also be available for functions or creative performances on Sundays (7am to 10pm).

Staff and Patron Numbers are stated to be:

It is anticipated that the combined uses on site will generate employment for approximately 25-30 people. Based on a ratio of 1 person per 3m², the creative space and markets has spatial capacity for up to 352 people (staff operators and patrons).

With regards to vehicular facilities the applicant’s Traffic report states that 1 motorcycle parking space and 13 bicycle spaces with end of trip facilities consisting of 2 x showers and 6 x lockers are to be provided on-site. They say the vehicular access and loading area is capable of accommodating SRV-type vehicles for deliveries and providing access for food trucks.

Thus, the proposal is to retain the existing building and install a multi-use development area in the open courtyard area. This would include 24 market stalls, 1 pop-up food-truck kiosk, 8 artist studios, and a stage with seating. The site area is stated as being 1,445m2 with 1,084m2 for market use and it’s estimated that the site will accommodate 25-30 staff at peak
times and a maximum of 362 patrons. **No on-site vehicle parking is to be provided as part of this proposal with the exception of the motor cycle parking.**

**Parking Provision**

The property is located in Parking Area 1 under Part 2.10 of MDCP 2011. The creative space activities fall under the land use definition of business premises and office premises.

There were no actual GFAs for individual uses itemised which made the parking provision analysis challenging however the RMS’s Guide to Traffic Generating Developments was used in lieu of the missing itemised uses as well as provisions for market stalls in Council’s DCP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
<th>Complies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restaurant and takeaway food or drink</td>
<td>1 per 100m² GFA for customers &amp; staff</td>
<td>230m² GFA = 2.3 spaces</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>premises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business premises / office premises</td>
<td>1 per 100m² GFA for staff &amp; customers / visitors</td>
<td>132m² GFA = 1.3 spaces</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markets</td>
<td>Use RMS Guide to Traffic Generating developments – 2 spaces per stall (desirable 2.5 spaces per stall)</td>
<td>634m²/1084m²? or 24 stalls = 48 spaces (or desirably 60)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle parking</td>
<td>5% of the total car parking requirement</td>
<td>51 car parking spaces required = 2.55 spaces</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that RMS’s Guide to Traffic Generating Development state a minimum parking provision of 2 spaces per stall or a desirable rate of 2.5 spaces per stall, **excluding stall holders.** 24 stalls would therefore, alone, require a minimum of 48 spaces for visitors and a desirable rate of 60 spaces. These rates are based on a mode split for cars of 0.80 and a reasonable availability and convenience of alternative markets in the area.

It is estimated conservatively that, for the markets generally, that at least 40 (visitor) and 15 (stall holders) car parking spaces should be provided – i.e. 55. In addition, the 8 studios will generate staff, visitor and service parking requirements as will the bakery. In total, conservatively, possibly up to around **60 additional parking spaces** are required to be found on the streets surrounding 2A Gladstone Street, which is not acceptable as parking in the locality is at a premium. A residential parking permit scheme – M3 - is already in place due to high parking demand in the locality. Residents already compete now with business and commuter parking demands in the surrounding streets.

Principally, the proposal needs to provide parking on-site and/or propose alternative off-street parking arrangements for the duration of the temporary multi-use creative hub use and in addition include a stall holder parking TMP. Residents’ in the surrounding streets will be impacted by the generated parking demand of the proposal / markets regardless of whether there are 10 or 24 stalls. If the markets become increasingly popular this will only exacerbate the situation. This situation has been experienced in other locations around the LGA as markets become more popular. Residents, business operators and patrons, stall holders and market visitors will all be competing for very limited on-street parking.
Other parking issues which have not been considered in the submission include provision of mobility parking and car share.

**Servicing**

The existing concrete courtyard is serviced by four vehicular crossings / roller door driveways, with two located on Gladstone Street north of the site, 1 located on Gladstone Lane to the southwest and 1 located on Wilford Street to the south.

The proposed development includes vehicular access, loading and service areas to the western side of the site with direct access from the Gladstone Street frontage which is considered appropriate having regard to existing vehicular access to the site.

The applicant's Traffic report includes food truck access and egress swept path diagrams as shown below:
Traffic Generation

RMS’s Guide to Traffic Generating Developments gives the following rates for markets - 18 trips per stall between 8.00am – 3.00pm (open for 7 hours) and peak hour vehicle trips of 4 trips per stall. 24 stalls thus would generate approximately 432 vehicle trips daily and 96 peak hour vehicle trips. Daily trip generation rates depend on hours of operation and the Guide states a market open for 12 hours a day would be expected to have a higher daily generation rate than that suggested.

The estimated 96 added vehicle trips (just generated by the market operation alone) in the peak hour will add to the traffic congestion already experienced in Enmore Road and Liberty Street, Newtown.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Consultation / notification regarding the proposal would normally be undertaken by Council’s Development and Planning Services as part of the development application process.

CONCLUSION

The proposed development is not supported in its current form because of the following issues:

- GFA uses/areas need to be identified more precisely. It is difficult to adequately determine parking provision and/or traffic generation rates for the proposed development. There is no mention of a ‘Residential’ component yet it is cited in the applicant’s SEE report as a ‘use’.

- The applicant’s Traffic report uses different criteria for parking and traffic generation analysis; ideally these should be consistently based.

- The proposed development does not comply with Council’s parking requirements. The proposition that no on-site parking provision (or alternative off-street parking arrangement) is required is not acceptable. The 25-30 employed people/staff need parking spaces as will a fair portion of the 362 people operating and attending the markets will require parking.

In summary, the development application for the proposed temporary multi-use creative hub at 2A Gladstone Street, Newtown is not supported in its present form because it does not meet Council’s minimum parking requirements. Taking into consideration all explanations given in the applicant’s Traffic report for the any reduction from the standard Council DCP minimum required parking provision for the proposal providing no parking spaces whatsoever is not considered practical due to the significant additional pressure on ‘on-street’ that will occur as a result of the proposed use.

Clearly, there is insufficient parking on-street to contain the proposed activity and consequently, on any market day, neighbouring streets will be parked out at the detriment of local residents.
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1 Executive Summary

This Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment has been prepared on behalf of Tricon Group Pty Ltd, in accordance with the requirements of the Marrickville Council DCP 2011 and the Road and Maritime Services (RMS) "Guide to Traffic Generating Developments" to accompany a Development Application to Inner West Council for the development of a multi-use development area which would include creative work spaces, market stalls, food truck and kiosks.

The existing loading facilities and proposed parking facilities (when markets are not in operation) are in accordance with the requirements of AS/NZS 2890.1 – Off Street Car Parking and AS 2890.2 – Off-Street Commercial Vehicle Facilities. The proposed bicycle facilities have been designed in accordance with AS 2890.3 – Parking facilities: Bicycle parking. These facilities are also considered practical and safe and the shortfall in parking is consistent with the objectives of the DCP.

Taking into account the estimated traffic generation from the proposed development, existing traffic flow conditions and speed environment around the site, it is considered that the increase in traffic generated would have a minimal impact on the safety and operating efficiency to these frontage roads.

There would be no warrant to upgrade the local road network as a result of any additional traffic generated by the development.

The Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment concludes that the subject site is suitable for the redevelopment of the site in relation to the impact of traffic, vehicle access, parking and safety considerations. The development is considered to have negligible effect on the safety and operating outcome of the surrounding transport network.
2 Introduction

Barker Ryan Stewart have been engaged by Tricon Group Pty Ltd to prepare a Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment in accordance with the requirements of the Marrickville Council DCP 2011 (DCP), LEP 2011 and the Road and Maritime Service’s (RMS’s) “Guide to Traffic Generating Developments” to accompany a Development Application to Inner West Council for a multi-use development area which would include 24 market stalls, 1 pop-up food-truck kiosk, 8 artist studios, and a seating area. Additionally, 13 bike spaces with end of trip facilities will be provided on-site. The site area is 1,085m² for market use. It’s estimated that the site will accommodate 25-30 staff at peak times and a maximum of 362 patrons.

The purpose of this report is to assess and address traffic, access, car parking and pedestrian impacts generated by the proposed development. This can be briefly outlined as follows:

- The expected traffic generation to/from the proposed development,
- The impact of the proposed development on the road network,
- Intersection analysis based on traffic counts,
- Vehicle parking provisions,
- Access design requirements,
- Vehicular requirements for delivery and waste collection,
- Provision for pedestrians,
- Availability of public transport.

Note: This Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment has been updated to address the amendments requested by Inner West Council in the additional information letter dated 20 April 2018 and correspondence dated 11 May 2018.
3 Existing Conditions

3.1 Site Location

The real property description for number 2A Gladstone Street are Lot 1 DP 208950, Lots 1, 2 and 3 Section 3 DP6081. The site is located at the intersection of Gladstone Street and Willford Street and has a total area of 1,065m². The site is located in Enmore North and Newtown Centre Precinct 8.

Figure 1: Aerial Photo of Site + Road Map (source: nearmaps 2017)
3.2 Existing Development

The site is currently developed and is occupied by an open-air warehouse. The proposed development will be situated in the vacant, concrete courtyard.

Figure 2: Aerial Photo of Site (source: nearmaps 2017)

3.3 Existing Road Conditions

The site is bound by Gladstone Street to the north, Gladstone Lane to the west and Wilford Street to the south. These are local roads with default speed limits of 50km/h. However, given Wilford Street and Gladstone Lane are single-lane roads with on-street parking on one side, local speeds are expected to be much less than the posted limit. Gladstone Street is a two-lane, two-way road with on-street parking on both sides.

The concrete courtyard is serviced by four vehicular crossings / roller door driveways, with two located on Gladstone Street north of the site, 1 located on Gladstone Lane to the southwest and 1 located on Wilford Street to the south.

There is full width footpath paving for the Gladstone Street frontage and on Wilford Street on the opposite side of the site.
3.4 Public Transport, Pedestrians and Cyclists.

The area is well serviced by public transport, with bus connections and Newtown train station located in close proximity to the site. Newtown station provides frequent train services to the CBD and Sydney’s west on the T2 Inner West and South Line, which generally run every 15 minutes. At a walking distance of 450m, it is easily accessible from the site by foot. There are also two bus stops located less than 500m south of the site on Enmore Road which service over 5 bus services/routes, which operate 7 days a week and at a frequency of 5 minutes or less during peak hours.

The site is also located within the established Marrickville cycle network, less than 50m from the Thurnby Lane / Wilford Lane regional route per the Marrickville Bicycle Plan 2006 (see Appendix B). The location of the site in precinct 8 has also been classified as “one of the most accessible in the LGA and conducive to walking” (see Clause 9.8.1 of the DCP).

Overall, the existing site has excellent access to pedestrian and cycling infrastructure and public transport, which can accommodate the requirements of the proposed development.
4 Proposed Development

4.1 Development Description

The proposal is to retain the existing building and install a multi-use development area in the open courtyard area. This would include 24 market stalls, 1 pop-up food-truck kiosk, 8 artist studios, and a seating area. The site area is 1,445m² with 1,084m² for market use. It’s estimated that the site will accommodate 25-30 staff at peak times and a maximum of 362 patrons.

With regards to vehicular facilities, 1 motorcycle parking space and 13 bicycle spaces with end of trip facilities consisting of 6 x lockers will be provided on-site. The vehicular access and loading area is capable of accommodating SRV-type vehicles for deliveries and providing access for food trucks.

During days when the market is not in operation, 4 x parking spaces including 1 x accessible space will be provided to service the artist studios.

Operating hours are as follows:
- Creative work spaces: Monday – Friday 7am – 7pm
- Wholesale Bakery: Monday – Sunday 6am – 6pm
- Market: Monday – Friday 7am – 4pm

4.2 Access

The existing entry to the loading area is located on Gladstone Street. It is proposed to utilise this existing access to facilitate ingress of food trucks and delivery vehicles.

The proposed use of the existing internal loading area and existing driveway does not increase the design requirements of the existing access driveway with respect to AS/1182 2690.1, AS 2690.2 and Council’s DCP requirements as this access was originally constructed to service a scrap metal business.

4.3 Service Vehicles

A service vehicle access and truck parking bay have been provided within the proposed development. These facilities will be used by the food truck, delivery vehicles associated with the artist studios and market place, and may accommodate commercial waste collection contractors.

These facilities have been designed in accordance with AS2890.2 and the requirements of the Marrickville Council DCP.
5 Car Parking Assessment

5.1 Parking Provision and Requirements

5.1.1 Parking Provision and Proposed Land Uses

The structure of the loading area for the proposed development is largely being retained in its existing configuration. This acts to minimise demolition and construction and thereby reduce the impact of this development on the local environment. Due to the nature of the site, the proposed development and its surrounding context no vehicle parking spaces are proposed except areas dedicated for car and truck loading/unloading to service the site. Stall holders for the markets will be able to unpack their wares on-site and park on-street similar to how Paddington market previously operated.

The proposed car parking spaces have been assessed against the Marrickville Council DCP 2011 parking rates contained in Clause 2.10.5 for uses in the Parking Area 1 Zone (per Clause 2.10.4 of the DCP). Given the diverse range of proposed uses for the site, some of which will operate concurrently while others will be mutually exclusive, evaluating these requirements is complex.

The activities that are proposed to take place on site have been categorised per the Council DCP parking provision table as follows:

- **Retail (consisting of 23 stalls, seating and amenities)**
  - Weekend Market Yard consisting of 23 stalls, seating and amenities

- **Function centres/Community centres (Consisting of market stalls, studios and seating area)**
  - One-off performances and showcases
  - Art exhibitions
  - Workshops and hands-on master classes in various media and practices
  - Kids activities and workshops
  - Educational talks and lectures
  - Short courses taught by local and visiting artists
  - Short term artist spaces
  - Performance rehearsals
  - Artist studio projects and studio tours

- **Restaurant / Takeaway Premises (Consisting of food truck bay, preparation areas and amenities)**

Considering the proposed layout of the site and the varying retail and creative uses, the following likely scenarios have been considered:

- **Case 1:** Retail (market) in concurrent use with restaurant / takeaway premises (food truck) and Function / Community Centre (artist’s studios)
- **Case 2:** Function / Community Centre (exhibition/workshop in market area/artist studios) concurrent with restaurant / takeaway premises (food truck)
- **Case 3:** Function / Community Centre (Artist studio) and Restaurant / Takeaway Premises (wholesale bakery)

A breakdown of the GFA assigned to these varying land uses is shown in the figures overleaf.
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Figure 3: GFA breakdown of usage case 1 (vehicle circulation areas discounted)
Figure 4: GFA breakdown of usage case 2 (vehicle circulation areas discounted)
5.1.2 Parking Requirements

Car Parking Requirements

Based on the information in section 5.1.1, the likely parking requirements have been provided in the table below based on Marrickville DCP 2011 per Clause 2.10.15 (motorcycle parking) and Table 1 (car parking) of Council’s DCP Part 2.

Table 1: Car and motorcycle parking requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Use Scenarios</th>
<th>Marrickville DCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1 – Market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 634m² GFA Retail</td>
<td>Minimum Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 135m² GFA Community / Function Centre</td>
<td>&gt;500m² Retail GFA: 5 spaces + 1 space per 65m² GFA over 500m² = 7.06 (7) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 230m² Restaurant / Takeaway Premises</td>
<td>1 space per 80m² Community Centre GFA x 132m² = 1.65 (2) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 space per 100m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 230m² = 2.3 (2) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total = 11 spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2 - Exhibition / Function</td>
<td>Minimum Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>786m² GFA Community / Function Centre</td>
<td>1 space per 80m² Community Centre GFA x 786m² = 9.5 (10) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370m² Restaurant / Takeaway Premises</td>
<td>1 space per 100m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 230m² = 2.3 (2) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12 spaces</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th align="left">Case 3 - Weekday no functions</th>
<th>Minimum Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td align="left">450m² GFA Community / Function Centre</td>
<td>1 space per 80m² Community Centre GFA x 450m² = 5.6 (6) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td align="left">95m² Restaurant / Takeaway Premises</td>
<td>1 space per 100m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 95m² = 0.95 (1) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td align="left"><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>7 spaces (4 provided)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motorcycle Parking</th>
<th>Minimum Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 motorcycle space / 20 car spaces required x 12 = 0.6 (1) space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Critical Requirement:**
- 12 car spaces (0 provided)
- 1 motorcycle space (1 provided)

The proposed on-site car parking is deficient at most 12 spaces based on the Council DCP rates contained in Table 1, however this is acceptable based on the objectives and outcomes outlined in the DCP.

Foremost, the site is located in Parking Area 1 which is the most parking constrained area, within close walking distance of Newtown Train Station and bus stops on Enmore Road, as outlined in Section 3.4 of this report. Per the DCP parking policy context in Clause 2.10.3, “prominent, plentiful, cheap and unrestricted parking encourages people to drive; public transport becomes a less attractive alternative.” Given the provision of bicycle parking on-site outlined below and the close proximity to public transport and cycle routes outlined in Section 3.4 of the report, it’s considered desirable in this case to discourage the use of private motor vehicles to the site.

Secondly, most of the parking required under the DCP would be assigned to market place use and exhibition use. Both these uses are intermittent, with the market place taking place during times outside the traditional parking peak (on weekends) and exhibitions being ephemeral in nature. Based on the aforementioned DCP parking policy context, it’s undesirable to provide 12 parking spaces that would spend much of the time unused. Given the target demographic of the development is would be interested in sustainable transport, these spaces would likely be further underutilised. To put this in perspective, 12 spaces approximately would require 162m² of floor area just for the cars alone. Including manoeuvring area this would increase to approximately 235m² of floor area which would constitute over 15% of the total site area. This is a substantial area to leave unutilised especially given such parking requirements could be lowered based on Clause 2.10.4 of the DCP, which allows for a merit-based reduction in on-site parking provision when peak demand for the site is outside the general peak parking demand period.

Thirdly, if a customer / visitor car park were to be provided on site it would likely be directly adjacent market stalls, exhibition space and the theatre area. Not only would this be detrimental to the amenity of
these attractions, as cars parking and un-parking would generate substantial noise and pollution within the confined walls of the site, this would also present a safety hazard to customers and staff. As the proposal involves repurposing and preserving the defunct industrial building, parking related hazards could only be mitigated with the provision of large internal barriers which may exceed the scope of the proposed works. Given all of the above and that the proposed Creative Hub would present a major boost to the character of the local community, requiring the accommodation of a dozen cars on site is not necessary.

It is acknowledged that an absence of on-site parking will place additional stress on on-street parking, which may adversely affect stakeholders in the immediate vicinity of the site. However, this area falls within the residential parking scheme area M3, as such residential parking is already prioritised. The existence of the scheme will further encourage visitors from outside the local area to use more sustainable means of transport to reach the Creative Hub as residents will have a priority in retaining parking spaces as time limits in the area do not apply to them. Limiting on-site parking also reduces the traffic generated by the proposed development, therefore benefitting residents by curbing the noise, air pollution, congestion and pedestrian safety issues that may otherwise arise.

To conclude, the proposed lack of parking spaces is consistent with the aims and objectives of the DCP. The deficit in parking spaces of at most 12, is justifiable as the paragraphs above show that 8 of the 9 potential reasons outlined in Clause 2.10.4 of the DCP are met. An excerpt of this clause is provided below.

---

2.10.4 Provision rates approach

The main elements of the approach to parking provision rates in this DCP are:

1. Car parking provision is slightly constrained across the entire LGA as a demand management measure.
2. Car parking provision rates are further constrained in accessible areas.
3. The approach adopted by the DCP is supported by other private and public domain parking management policies and actions that collectively aim to improve the management of parking and promote sustainable transport across the LGA.

Justification for providing car parking at a rate lower than that specified in this section of the DCP could include:

1. Peak parking and traffic activity occurs during periods where surrounding parking demand is lowest;
2. Existing site and building constraints make provision of car parking impractical;
3. Located adjacent to high-frequency public transport services and/or urban services;
4. Includes management regimes to minimise car use, such as workplace travel plans or on-site carshare schemes;
5. Provides a business or social service that benefits the local community and contributes to the vitality of the area;
6. Development targeted to demographic sector with low car use/ownership;
7. Safety of motorists, pedestrians and cyclists is unduly compromised by provision of parking;
8. Development contributes to heritage conservation of the building and setting; and
9. Parking for the development is consistent with the aims and objectives of this section of MDDP 2011.

---

Figure 6: Marrickville DCP Extract
Bicycle Parking Requirements

Table 2: Bicycle parking requirements and provision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Use Scenarios</th>
<th>Marrickville DCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Case 1 – Market</strong></td>
<td><strong>Marrickville DCP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 634m² GFA Retail</td>
<td><strong>Minimum Rates</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 132m² GFA Community / Function Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 230m² Restaurant / Takeaway Premises</td>
<td>&lt;1000m² Retail GFA:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 space per 300m² Retail GFA x 634 = 2.11 (2) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 staff space per 100m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 230m² = 2.3 (2) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 customer space per 50m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 230m² = 4.6 (5) spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total = 10 bicycle spaces</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Case 2 – Exhibition / Function** | **Minimum Rates** |
| • 766m² GFA Community / Function Centre |
| • 230m² Restaurant / Takeaway Premises | 1 staff space per 100m² Community / Function Centre GFA x 766m² = 7.66 (8) staff spaces |
|                          | 1 patron space per 100m² Community / Function Centre GFA x 766m² = 7.66 (8) patron spaces |
|                          | 1 staff space per 100m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 230m² = 2.3 (2) spaces |
|                          | 1 customer space per 50m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 230m² = 4.6 (5) spaces |
|                          | **Total = 23 bicycle spaces** |

| **Case 3 – Weekday no functions** | **Minimum Rates** |
| • 455m² GFA Community / Function Centre |
| • 95m² Restaurant / Takeaway Premises | 1 staff space per 100m² Community / Function Centre GFA x 455m² = 4.5 (5) spaces |
|                          | 1 patron space per 100m² Community / Function Centre GFA x 455m² = 4.55 (5) patron spaces |
|                          | 1 staff space per 100m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 95m² = 0.95 (1) spaces |
|                          | 1 customer space per 50m² Takeaway Premises GFA x 95m² = 1.9 (2) spaces |
|                          | **Total = 13 bicycle spaces** |

**End-of-trip Facilities**

| **Minimum Rates** |
| 1 1 locker per 3 staff spaces required x 10 = 3.3 (4) lockers |

**Critical Requirement**

10-23 bike spaces (13 provided)
4 lockers (6 provided)

The proposed development provides 13 bicycle spaces compliant with AS2890.3-2015. This exceeds the requirements under the Council DCP for Case 1 when the market is in use and meets the requirement for case 3 however is deficient 8 spaces for Case 2. This is acceptable given the proposed Creative Hub will...
not be a permanent facility but will instead operate until such time as the mixed-use development approved for this site begins construction. Per Clause 2.10.4, the bicycle rates set out in the DCP are intended to meet future growth into bicycle use. It may not be necessary to provide 21 spaces as demand for these spaces at the development may not reach that level prior to the cessation of the Creative Hub’s operation.

Furthermore, usage case 1 for the Creative Hub (the marketplace + studios and food truck) will occur weekly while the community/function centre usage case (art exhibits) will occur intermittently. On average, it’s likely that the proposed 13 spaces will be more than sufficient for the proposed development most of the time.

Therefore, it’s considered that the 13 spaces shown on plans, designed in accordance with AS2890.3-2015, in combination with the compliant provision lockers, will be sufficient to meet the needs of the proposed Creative Hub.
Service and Delivery Area Requirements

Per Clause 2.10.16 of Council’s DCP, as the proposed development constitutes a new use in existing premises, the minimum service / delivery area provision is to be determined based on merit. Clause 2.10.16-C24 requires that at least one service area 3.5m x 7m in dimension be provided for larger developments, which has been met by this proposal. Given most deliveries will be to service the market, which is only in use intermittently on weekends, it’s considered appropriate per Clause 2.10.16-C24 to limit deliveries to vehicles of Small Rigid Vehicle (SRV) size or lesser.

As shown in swept paths contained in Appendix C, the proposed service area may accommodate two SRV type vehicles entering and exiting the site in a forward direction when the food truck is not on site. When the food truck is on site, one SRV may still be accommodated albeit with reverse entry and forward exit. Note, one reverse movement onto or off the street is appropriate for regular service on a minor road per Clause 3.2.4 of AS2890.2-2002 at Council’s discretion.

Overall, it’s considered that the above motor vehicle and bicycle parking provisions achieve the development outcomes set out in the Marrickville Council DCP.

5.1.3 Parking Provision Conclusion

To summarise the above sections:

- The proposed provision of 0 car parking space on-site is justifiable for the reasons outlined in Clause 2.10.4 of the Marrickville DCP.
- The 13 proposed bicycle parking spaces with associated end of trip facilities meet the requirements of the site on merit and ensure that the site can meet the objectives outlined in Clause 2.10.13 of the Marrickville DCP.
- The truck access and service area / truck parking area comply with the requirements of Clause 2.19.16 of the Marrickville DCP.

Overall the proposed development is not anticipated to have a negative impact on the surrounding on-street parking infrastructure and is acceptable based on the requirements of the Marrickville DCP.


### 5.2 Parking Compliance Check

Barker Ryan Stewart has reviewed the plans as provided. This review included the layout of car parking and internal roadways / ramps. Overall, the design is consistent with the requirements of Standards AS/NZS 2890.1, AS 2890.2-2002, AS 2890.3 and The Marrickville Council DCP. It is anticipated that the car park will function in a satisfactory manner and in accordance with the original design intent. A summary of critical parameters assessed regarding the Australian Standards is included below.

**Table 3: Compliance Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS2890.1-2004 (Off-street Car Parking) and Council DCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1 Car Space Dimensions: Class 1A</td>
<td>Commuter - 5.4m x 2.4m. Min 5.6m aisle width</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.2 Blind aisle Extension &amp; Clearance</td>
<td>1m blind aisle extension, min 0.3m clear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.6 Gradients within parking modules</td>
<td>Approximately flat</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.7 Motorcycle Parking</td>
<td>Motorcycle parking 1.2m x 2.5m</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5.2 Layout Roadways/Ramps</td>
<td>Two-way circulation provided throughout site</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5.3 Roadway/Ramp Grades</td>
<td>As existing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.2 Driveway Width</td>
<td>As existing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.3 Driveway location</td>
<td>As existing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.4 Driveway sightlines</td>
<td>As existing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Gradients of Driveways</td>
<td>As existing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Column Location/Spacing</td>
<td>Columns located outside design envelope</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 Headroom</td>
<td>&gt;2.2m to be provided</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP 2.10.15 Motorcycle Parking</td>
<td>Motorcycle parking 1.2m x 2.5m, protected from collision</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS2890.2-2002 (Off-street Commercial Vehicle Facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.3 Regular Service - Minor Road</td>
<td>(a) Dedicated Service area provided (b) All manoeuvring on-site (c) Access via one reverse from local road (d) Circulation roadways provided</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.1 Access Driveways</td>
<td>Swept paths show acceptable access and egress for SRV</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.3 Maximum Grades</td>
<td>As existing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.5 Sightlines</td>
<td>As existing</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCP 2.10.16 Dimensions of Service Bay</td>
<td>&gt; 3.5m x 7m</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS2890.3-2015 (Bicycle Parking)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Classification (Class A x 13)</td>
<td>Class A provided in safe location with good passive surveillance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2A Gladstone Street, Newtown

**Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>&gt;20% horizontal parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Parking Area Requirements</td>
<td>Aisle: 1.5m, W: 0.5m, L: 1.8m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Access Paths</td>
<td>Spaces located on ground level near vehicle access</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### AS2890.4-2009 (Accessible Parking)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2.1</td>
<td>Angle Parking Spaces</td>
<td>2.4m x 5.4m parking and shared spaces + bollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Pavement slope and surface</td>
<td>~Flat 0% grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Headroom</td>
<td>Sufficient space to provide 2.5m headroom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 Traffic Assessment

6.1 Traffic Generation

6.1.1 Existing Development

The current site area is unused and does not generate any traffic.

6.1.2 Proposed Development

From the RMS Guide, the proposed estimated traffic volumes generated by the proposed development are outlined in the table below.

Table 4: Proposed development – traffic generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>AM peak hour rate</th>
<th>AM peak hour trips</th>
<th>PM peak hour rate</th>
<th>PM peak hour trips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Markets (24 stalls)</td>
<td>4 / stall</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4 / stall</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Premises (230m²)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5/100m²</td>
<td>2.3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artist Studios (130m²)</td>
<td>(Use commercial rate) 2/100m²</td>
<td>2.64 (3)</td>
<td>2/100m²</td>
<td>2.64 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total AM = 99
Total PM = 102

Traffic generation rates for the artist studios have been based on the RMS Guide rates for commercial premises, as the guide does not contain rates for community / function centres. The exhibition space rates are taken as identical to the market rates, as the stalls would likely remain in use as exhibition space.

Overall, the proposed development’s artist studios and food premises will generate negligible peak hour increases in traffic of just 6 vehicles per hour at its worst during the PM peak. However, the market would present a significant increase in local traffic based on these rates. The RMS Guide Section 3.6.7 contains the following caveats: ‘These rates reflect high private usage with a mean mode split for cars of 0.80 and a mean car occupancy of 2.30. Sites with greater numbers of walk, public transport or dual-purpose trips would have a correspondingly lower generation rate.’ The site contains a variety of uses and is well connected to public transport, walking and cycling infrastructure.

Specifically speaking to the local area’s car occupancy rates, using the Household Travel Survey 2011-12 Table 4 for trips to the Marrickville LGA, the average modal split for driving a private vehicle was 0.363 for individuals making discretionary trips for shopping purposes. This less than half the split of 0.8 that was found at the markets surveyed by the RMS. The modal split for driving to the proposed site would likely be even lower as this survey was taken in 2011/12, whereas incentives for cycling and public transport use have only increased since that time. The modal split is also averaged over the entire Marrickville LGA and will thus be higher than split that would be anticipated at the site. Adopting a driving modal split of 0.363 results in trip generation of 43.56 (44) peak hour trips. Therefore, worst case traffic generation would be approximately 50 trips, which would be further offset by the reductions in modal split outlined above and the constrained parking outlined in section 5.1 of this report.

As the estimated increase is less than 50 peak hour vehicle trips, the increase is not considered significant given the off-peak nature of the proposed uses and the existing high volumes of traffic in the local area.
7 Conclusion

This Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Marrickville DCP 2011, the Road and Maritime Services (RMS) “Guide to Traffic Generating Developments” to accompany a Development Application to Inner West Council for the development of a mixed use residential / retail / commercial development.

The Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment concludes that the subject site is suitable for the proposed intensification of use of the site in relation to the impact of traffic, vehicle access, parking and safety considerations. The development is considered to have negligible effect on the safety and operating outcome of the surrounding transport network.
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